arXiv:1212.3462v1 [math-ph] 14 Dec 2012

A modular spectral triple for κ-Minkowski space

Marco Matassa*

Abstract

We present a spectral triple associated to κ-Minkowski space in two dimensions. Starting from an algebra naturally associated with κ-Minkowski space, a Hilbert space is built using a weight which is invariant under the κ-Poincaré algebra. This weight satisfies the KMS condition and is related to the modular properties of this geometry. This forces us to use two ingredients which have a modular flavor: the first is a twisted commutator to obtain the boundedness of commutators of the Dirac operator with an element of the algebra, the second is the use of a weight to measure the growth of the resolvent of the Dirac operator. We show that there is a natural choice for the Dirac operator and the automorphism defining the twisted commutator. We compute the spectral dimension associated to this spectral triple and find that is equal to the classical dimension. Finally we briefly discuss the introduction of a real structure.

---

*SISSA, Via Bonomea 265, I-34136 Trieste, Italy. *E-mail address*: marco.matassa@sissa.it

Contents

1 Introduction **3**

2 The $*$-algebra **4**
- 2.1 The κ-Poincaré and κ-Minkowski algebras . . . 4
- 2.2 Implementation of Hopf symmetries . . . 6
- 2.3 Definition of the $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ . . . 7

3 The Hilbert space **9**
- 3.1 Definition of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ . . . 9
- 3.2 The representation of $\mathcal{T}_\kappa$ . . . 11
- 3.3 The KMS property of the weight ω . . . 12
- 3.4 Some useful formulae . . . 14

4 The Dirac operator **16**
- 4.1 A problem with boundedness . . . 16
- 4.2 The deformed Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}$ . . . 18
- 4.3 Some remarks on $\mathcal{D}$ . . . 21

5 The spectral dimension **23**
- 5.1 A problem with finite summability . . . 23
- 5.2 Modular spectral triples . . . 25
- 5.3 The spectral dimension with the weight Φ . . . 26

6 The real structure **31**

7 Conclusions **34**

1 Introduction

In 1991 Lukierski, Ruegg, Nowicki & Tolstoi [1, 2] introduced a Hopf algebraic deformation of the Poincaré algebra, which we call κ-Poincaré, with a deformation parameter having units of mass and denoted by κ. A few years later Majid and Ruegg [3] clarified the bicrossproduct structure of κ-Poincaré: it consists of a semidirect product of the classical Lorentz algebra, which acts in a deformed way on the translation subalgebra, and a backreaction of the momentum sector on the Lorentz transformations. This allowed to introduce a homogeneous space for the κ-deformed symmetry, as the quotient Hopf algebra of the κ-Poincaré group with the Lorentz group. The result is a non-commutative Hopf algebra, which can be interpreted as the algebra of functions over a non-commutative spacetime, which we call κ-Minkowski.

It is natural to ask whether κ-Minkowski is a non-commutative geometry in the sense of Alain Connes [4]. Despite some attempts [5, 6, 7], it is fair to say that a spectral triple that encodes the geometry of this space in a satisfactory way has not yet been constructed. In particular, in none of the spectral triples proposed so far the spectral dimension coincides with the classical dimension, which is not very pleasant from the point of view of the classical limit of the model. In this paper we provide another attempt at the construction of such a spectral triple. This construction will make full use of some tools that have been developed to study spaces with modular properties. They are refinements of the notion of spectral triple given by Connes, which in its most minimalistic form is given by the following.

Definition. A compact spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ is the data of a unital $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, a faithful $*$-representation π on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, and a self-adjoint operator $\mathcal{D}$ such that

- $[\mathcal{D}, \pi(a)]$ extends to a bounded operator for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.
- $(\mathcal{D} - \mu)^{-1}$ is compact for all $\mu \notin \mathrm{sp}\mathcal{D}$.

Here $\mathrm{sp}\mathcal{D}$ is the spectrum of $\mathcal{D}$. One needs to modify this definition to treat non-compact cases, which correspond to non-unital algebras. We refer to [8] for a discussion of the issues arising in this case and the necessary modifications. We just point out that one requires $\pi(a)(\mathcal{D} - \mu)^{-1}$ to be compact for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mu \notin \mathrm{sp}\mathcal{D}$.

As a starting point for this construction we consider the $*$-algebra introduced in [9], which we denote by $\mathcal{A}$, built using the commutation relations associated to κ-Minkowski space, and on which the κ-Poincaré algebra acts in a simple way. It was also shown that the Lebesgue integral on $\mathbb{R}^2$, which we denote by ω, has the right invariance properties under the action of the κ-Poincaré algebra. It provides us with the natural ingredient for the construction of a Hilbert space associated to this geometry. We show that, using the GNS construction for ω, we obtain a Hilbert space which is unitarily equivalent to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and determine the corresponding unitary operator. Moreover it turns out that ω is a KMS weight for the algebra $\mathcal{A}$.

The next step is the introduction of a Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}$. There is an immediate difficulty with the condition of boundedness of the commutator of $\mathcal{D}$, which is related to the structure of the coproduct of the κ-Poincaré algebra. To deal with this problem we will need to use the framework of *twisted spectral triples* [10]. This notion was introduced to treat examples like perturbed spectral triples and the transverse geometry of codimension one foliations. It is also remarked that such a notion could be useful for spectral triples associated to quantum groups. The novel ingredient of this framework is the twisted commutator, defined by $[\mathcal{D},\pi(a)]_\sigma = \mathcal{D}\pi(a) - \pi(\sigma(a))\mathcal{D}$. Here σ is an automorphism of the the algebra $\mathcal{A}$.

Definition. A compact twisted spectral triple $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{H},\mathcal{D})$ is the data of a unital $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ with an automorphism σ, a faithful $*$-representation π on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, and a self-adjoint operator $\mathcal{D}$ such that

- $[\mathcal{D},\pi(a)]_\sigma$ extends to a bounded operator for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.
- $(\mathcal{D}-\mu)^{-1}$ is compact for all $\mu \notin \mathrm{sp}\mathcal{D}$.

We will show that, under some assumptions that will be discussed later on, there is a unique Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}$ and a unique automorphism σ such that the twisted commutator is bounded.

Next we consider the finite summability of this spectral triple, which is related to the notion of spectral dimension. Finitely summability implies the compactness condition for the Dirac operator. We show that we do not have finite summability, according to the usual definition for spectral triples. This problem is known to appear for some geometries that involve KMS states. To deal with this and similarly related problems, the framework of *modular spectral triples* was introduced, see [11, 12, 13, 14] and the related section for its definition. We show that, using this notion together with some minor modifications necessary to deal with the non-compact case, our spectral triple is finitely summable and its spectral dimension coincides with the classical dimension. Finally we have discussed the introduction of a real structure and shown how the relevant properties are modified.

2 The $*$-algebra

The aim of this section is to introduce a $*$-algebra, which provides the topological part for a spectral triple describing the geometry of κ-Minkowski space. We start by reviewing some basic facts about the κ-Poincaré algebra $\mathcal{P}_\kappa$ and κ-Minkowski space. We recall some notions related to the implementation of Hopf algebra symmetries on a Hilbert space. Finally we describe the $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, which was introduced in [9], and recall some of its properties which are relevant for the construction of a spectral triple.

2.1 The κ-Poincaré and κ-Minkowski algebras

In this paragraph we summarize the algebraic properties of the κ-Poincaré algebra $\mathcal{P}_\kappa$ in two dimensions. It is the Hopf algebra generated by the elements P_0, P_1, N satisfying

$$[P_\mu, P_\nu] = 0 \ , \quad [N, P_0] = P_1 \ ,$$
$$[N, P_1] = \frac{\kappa}{2}(1 - e^{-2P_0/\kappa}) - \frac{1}{2\kappa}P_1^2 \ .$$

The coproduct $\triangle : \mathcal{P}_\kappa \to \mathcal{P}_\kappa \otimes \mathcal{P}_\kappa$ is defined by the relations

$$\triangle P_0 = P_0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes P_0 \ , \qquad \triangle P_1 = P_1 \otimes 1 + e^{-P_0/\kappa} \otimes P_1 \ ,$$
$$\triangle N = N \otimes 1 + e^{-P_0/\kappa} \otimes N \ .$$

The counit $\varepsilon : \mathcal{P}_\kappa \to \mathbb{R}$ and antipode $S : \mathcal{P}_\kappa \to \mathcal{P}_\kappa$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon(P_\mu) = 0 \ , \quad \varepsilon(N) = 0 \ , \\
&S(P_0) = -P_0 \ , \quad S(P_1) = -e^{P_0/\kappa} P_1 \ , \quad S(N) = -e^{P_0/\kappa} N \ .
\end{aligned}$$

We are interested in the translation subalgebra generated by P_0 and P_1. To avoid issues of convergence in the algebra we consider, instead of the exponential $e^{-P_0/\kappa}$, the invertible element $\mathcal{E}$. We call the subalgebra generated by P_μ and $\mathcal{E}$ the *extended momentum algebra* and denote it by $\mathcal{T}_\kappa$. The relevant algebraic relations are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&[P_\mu, P_\nu] = 0 \ , \quad [P_\mu, \mathcal{E}] = 0 \ , \\
&\triangle P_0 = P_0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes P_0 \ , \quad \triangle P_1 = P_1 \otimes 1 + \mathcal{E} \otimes P_1 \ , \quad \triangle \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E} \ , \\
&\varepsilon(P_\mu) = 0 \ , \quad \varepsilon(\mathcal{E}) = 1 \ , \\
&S(P_0) = -P_0 \ , \quad S(P_1) = -\mathcal{E}^{-1} P_1 \ , \quad S(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{E}^{-1} \ .
\end{aligned}$$

It can be made into a Hopf $*$-algebra by defining the involution on the generators as $P_\mu^* = P_\mu$ and $\mathcal{E}^* = \mathcal{E}$. Throughout this paper we will use the parameter $\lambda := \kappa^{-1}$, instead of κ, hopefully not causing confusion to the readers. The motivation comes from the fact that the Poincaré algebra is obtained in the "classical limit" $\lambda \to 0$, in a similar fashion to the classical limit $\hbar \to 0$ of quantum mechanics. This makes more transparent checking that some formulae reduce, in this limit, to their respective undeformed counterparts.

The κ-Minkowski space is defined as the dual Hopf algebra $\mathcal{M}_\kappa$ to the translation subalgebra. Here we write this duality in terms of the extended momentum algebra. We introduce the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{T}_\kappa \times \mathcal{M}_\kappa \to \mathbb{R}$, which on the generators is defined as

$$\langle P_\mu, X_\nu \rangle = \delta_{\mu\nu} \ , \quad \langle \mathcal{E}, X_\nu \rangle = -\lambda \delta_{0\nu} \ .$$

The second relation comes from $\langle e^{-\lambda P_0}, X_\mu \rangle$. We have choosen an Euclidean pairing since we want to deal with the Euclidean version of κ-Minkowski space. The pairing is extended to arbitrary elements using the coproduct

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle a_1, b_1 b_2 \rangle &= \langle a_1^{(1)}, b_1 \rangle \langle a_1^{(2)}, b_2 \rangle \ , \\
\langle a_1 a_2, b_1 \rangle &= \langle a_1, b_1^{(1)} \rangle \langle a_2, b_1^{(2)} \rangle \ .
\end{aligned}$$

Here in the left slot we have $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{T}_\kappa$, in the right slot $b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{M}_\kappa$ and the superscript denotes the Sweedler notation for the coproduct

$$\triangle a = \sum_i a_{(i)}^{(1)} \otimes a_{(i)}^{(2)} = a^{(1)} \otimes a^{(2)} \ .$$

From the pairing we deduce that $\mathcal{M}_\kappa$ is non-commutative, since $\mathcal{T}_\kappa$ is not cocommutative, that is the coproduct in $\mathcal{T}_\kappa$ is not trivial. On the other hand, since $\mathcal{T}_\kappa$ is commutative we have that $\mathcal{M}_\kappa$ is cocommutative. The algebraic relations for the κ-Minkowski Hopf algebra $\mathcal{M}_\kappa$, that one obtains via the pairing that we have defined above, are given by

$$[X_0, X_1] = -\lambda X_1 \ , \qquad \triangle X_\mu = X_\mu \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_\mu \ .$$

We want to make some remarks about the Euclidean signature. Strictly speaking we should refer to the Euclidean counterpart of the κ-Poincaré algebra, which is known as the quantum Euclidean group. Since we will not make explicit use of the boost generator N, we point out that most of the relations considered above do not depend on the signature. Henceforth we will sometime be sloppy and make reference to the κ-Poincaré algebra, instead of the quantum Euclidean group, while making some remarks when appropriate.

2.2 Implementation of Hopf symmetries

In this section we recall some notions related to the implementation of Hopf algebra symmetries on a Hilbert space [16]. This is relevant to us, since the extended momentum algebra $\mathcal{T}_\kappa$, on which our construction is based on, is a Hopf $*$-algebra. In the following H denotes a Hopf algebra.

Definition 1. An *H-module* is a pair (V, φ), where V is a linear space and φ is a complex linear representation of H on V. The representation will be also denoted by $h \triangleright v$, where $h \in H$ and $v \in V$, instead of $\varphi(h)v$.

In particular we are interested in the case in which H acts on an algebra A. The following definitions are compatibility conditions between the two structures.

Definition 2. An algebra A is a *left H-module algebra* if A is a left H-module and the representation respects the algebra structure of A, that is $h \triangleright (ab) = (h_{(1)} \triangleright a)(h_{(2)} \triangleright b)$ for all $h \in H$ and $a, b \in A$.

Definition 3. A $*$-algebra A is *left H-module $*$-algebra* if A is a left H-module algebra and moreover the action is compatible with the star structure, that is $(h \triangleright a)^* = S(h)^* \triangleright a^*$ for all $h \in H$ and $a \in A$.

We are interested in a representation of H on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. This representation will be unbounded in general, so we will have to specify the appropriate domains.

Definition 4. Let $\mathcal{H}_0$ be a dense linear subspace of a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ with inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$. An *unbounded $*$-representation* of H on $\mathcal{H}_0$ is a homomorphism $\rho : H \to \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ such that $(\rho(h)\psi, \phi) = (\psi, \rho(h^*)\phi)$ for all $\psi, \phi \in \mathcal{H}_0$ and $h \in H$.

Now suppose that we have a representation π of a $*$-algebra A on $\mathcal{H}$. Moreover suppose that A is a left H-module $*$-algebra, which is the case of interest for a spectral triple with symmetries. We want the representation π to be compatible with the structure of the Hopf algebra H. This leads to the notion of equivariance, given in the next definition.

Definition 5. Suppose A is a left H-module $*$-algebra. A $*$-representation π of A on $\mathcal{H}_0$ is called *H-equivariant* (or also covariant) if there exists an unbounded $*$-representation ρ of H on $\mathcal{H}_0$ such that

$$\rho(h)\pi(a)\psi = \pi(h_{(1)} \triangleright a)\rho(h_{(2)})\psi \ , \tag{2.1}$$

for all $h \in H$, $a \in A$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_0$.

Finally we give a definition of equivariance for operators in $\mathcal{H}$.

Definition 6. A linear operator T defined on $\mathcal{H}_0$ is said to be *equivariant* if it commutes with $\rho(h)$, that is $T\rho(h)\psi = \rho(h)T\psi$ for all $h \in H$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_0$.

2.3 Definition of the $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$

In this section we describe the $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ introduced in [9], see also [15]. Here we summarize some of the results and fix the notation for the other sections. In two dimensions the underlying algebra of κ-Minkowski is the enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra with generators it and ix fullfilling $[t,x] = i\lambda x$. It has a faithful representation φ given by

$$\varphi(it) = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \qquad \varphi(ix) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

The corresponding simply connected Lie group G consists of 2×2 matrices of the form

$$S(a,b) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\lambda a} & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} , \tag{2.2}$$

which are obtained by exponentiating φ as follows

$$e^{i\varphi(at+b'x)} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\lambda a} & \frac{1-e^{-\lambda a}}{\lambda a} b' \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} .$$

The group operations written in the (a,b) coordinates are given by

$$S(a_1,b_1)S(a_2,b_2) = S(a_1+a_2, b_1 + e^{-\lambda a_1} b_2) , \qquad S(a,b)^{-1} = S(-a, -e^{\lambda a} b) .$$

We have that the Lebesgue measure $dadb$ is right invariant whereas the measure $e^{\lambda a}dadb$ is left invariant on G. In particular G is not unimodular. We denote by $\mathcal{A}_c$ the convolution algebra of G with respect to the right invariant measure. We identify functions on G with functions on $\mathbb{R}^2$ by the parametrization (2.2). Then $\mathcal{A}_c$ is an involutive Banach algebra consisting of integrable functions on $\mathbb{R}^2$ with product $\hat{\star}$ and involution $\hat{*}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} (f \hat{\star} g)(a,b) &= \int f(a-a', b - e^{-\lambda(a-a')} b') g(a',b') da' db' , \\ f^{\hat{*}}(a,b) &= e^{\lambda a} \overline{f}(-a, -e^{\lambda a} b) . \end{aligned}$$

Any unitary representation π_u of G (which we also assume to be strongly continuous in the following) gives rise to a representation π_c of $\mathcal{A}_c$, obtained by setting

$$\pi_c(f) = \int f(a,b) \pi_u(S(a,b)) dadb .$$

It is indeed a $*$-representation, since it obeys

$$\pi_c(f \hat{\star} g) = \pi_c(f)\pi_c(g) , \qquad \pi_c(f^{\hat{*}}) = \pi_c(f)^\dagger ,$$

for any $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_c$, where here $\dagger$ denotes the adjoint.

Following the same procedure as in the Weyl quantization we define the map W_{π_c}, associated with the representation π_c, by $W_{\pi_c}(f) := \pi_c(\mathcal{F}f)$. This definition is valid for any $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap \mathcal{F}^{-1}(L^1(\mathbb{R}^2))$, where $\mathcal{F}$ denotes the Fourier transform on $\mathbb{R}^2$. It then follows that

$$W_{\pi_c}(f \star g) = W_{\pi_c}(f) W_{\pi_c}(g) \ , \qquad W_{\pi_c}(f^*) = W_{\pi_c}(f)^\dagger \ ,$$

where the product $\star$ and the involution $*$ are defined by

$$f \star g = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}f \hat{\star} \mathcal{F}g) \ , \qquad f^* = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}f)^{\hat{*}} \ . \tag{2.3}$$

We want to remark here that, although W_{π_c} depends on the choice of π_c (and therefore on the choice of the unitary representation π_u of G), the product $\star$ and the involution $*$ do not depend on such a choice. This is the same strategy one employs to define the Moyal product in the case of quantum mechanics. Indeed as in that case one needs to exercise care about the domain of definition of the operations $\star$ and $*$, so in the following we will restrict ourselves to a subset of Schwartz functions given by the following definition.

Definition 7. Denote by $\mathcal{S}_c$ the space of Schwartz functions on $\mathbb{R}^2$ with compact support in the first variable, that is for $f \in \mathcal{S}_c$ we have $\mathrm{supp}(f) \subseteq K \times \mathbb{R}$ for some compact $K \subset \mathbb{R}$. We define $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{S}_c)$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is the Fourier transform on $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Proposition 8. *$\mathcal{A}$ is a $*$-algebra with product and involution defined as*

$$\begin{aligned} (f \star g)(x) &= \int e^{ip_0 x_0} (\mathcal{F}_0 f)(p_0, x_1) g(x_0, e^{-\lambda p_0} x_1) \frac{dp_0}{2\pi} \ , \\ f^*(x) &= \int e^{ip_0 x_0} (\mathcal{F}_0 \overline{f})(p_0, e^{-\lambda p_0} x_1) \frac{dp_0}{2\pi} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Here $\mathcal{F}_0$ denotes the Fourier transform of f in the first variable, defined as

$$(\mathcal{F}_0 f)(p_0, x_1) = \int e^{-ip_0 y_0} f(y_0, x_1) dy_0 \ .$$

The compact support of the Fourier transform in the first variable ensures that these operations are well-defined. Notice that for $\lambda = 0$ they reduce respectively to the pointwise product $(f \star g)(x) = f(x)g(x)$ and complex conjugation $f^*(x) = \overline{f}(x)$, giving the correct classical limit for the model. The definition of $\mathcal{A}$ implies that any $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is a Schwartz function, but does not have compact support in the first variable. By the Paley-Wiener theorem the compact support of $\tilde{f}$ in the first variable implies analiticity of f in the first variable.

The algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and the extended momentum algebra $\mathcal{T}_\kappa$ are naturally related. The main result that we need for our construction is the following [9, Theorem 4.2]

Theorem 9. *The algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is a left $\mathcal{T}_\kappa$-module $*$-algebra with respect to the following representation of the extended momentum algebra*

$$(P_\mu \triangleright f)(x) = -i(\partial_\mu f)(x) \ , \qquad (\mathcal{E} \triangleright f)(x) = f(x_0 + i\lambda, x_1) \ .$$

We recall that this means that, for any $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ and $h \in \mathcal{T}_\kappa$, we have

$$h \triangleright (f \star g) = (h_{(1)} \triangleright f) \star (h_{(2)} \triangleright g) \ , \qquad (h \triangleright f)^* = S(h)^* \triangleright f^* \ .$$

3 The Hilbert space

Having at our disposal the $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ the next step is to introduce a Hilbert space, in which we have a faithful $*$-representation π of $\mathcal{A}$. In this section we motivate a choice for a weight ω and apply the GNS construction to obtain a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. We show that it is unitarily equivalent to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and determine the corresponding unitary operator U. Then we introduce an unbounded $*$-representation ρ of $\mathcal{T}_\kappa$ and prove that $\rho(P_\mu)$ and $\rho(\mathcal{E})$ can be extended to self-adjoint operators on $\mathcal{H}$. We show that the weight ω satisfies the KMS condition with respect to a certain action α, and determine the corresponding modular operator Δ. Finally we prove some useful formulae that will be used extensively in the rest of the paper.

3.1 Definition of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$

We can introduce a Hilbert space, associated with the $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, via the GNS construction. The main ingredient is the choice of a weight ω on $\mathcal{A}$ (recall that our algebra is not unital). The inner product is defined in terms of ω by setting $(f,g) := \omega(f^* \star g)$ for $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$, and the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is defined as the completion of the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ in the norm induced by the inner product, possibly after taking the quotient by the left ideal $\mathcal{I} := \{f \in \mathcal{A} \ : \ \omega(f^* \star f) = 0\}$.

We make the same choice for the weight as in the commutative case

$$\omega(f) := \int f(x) d^2x \ ,$$

where we use the Lebesgue integral. This is motivated by simplicity, but more importantly by the invariance of ω under the action of the κ-Poincaré Hopf algebra $\mathcal{P}_\kappa$ [9].

Proposition 10. *The weight ω is invariant under the action of $\mathcal{P}_\kappa$, that is for any $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and for any $h \in \mathcal{P}_\kappa$ we have $\omega(h \triangleright f) = \varepsilon(h)\omega(f)$.*

We recall two additional results obtained in [9].

Proposition 11. *For any $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ the weight ω satisfies the twisted trace property $\omega(f \star g) = \omega((\mathcal{E} \triangleright g) \star f)$. Moreover we have*

$$\omega(f \star g^*) = \int f(x)\overline{g}(x) d^2x \ .$$

The twisted trace property will be later rewritten in the language of KMS weights. Now we construct the Hilbert space using the weight ω.

Proposition 12. *The completion of $\mathcal{A}$ under the norm $\|\cdot\|$, denoted by $\mathcal{H}$, is a Hilbert space. Moreover it is unitarily equivalent to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, where the unitary operator is given by*

$$(Uf)(x) = \int e^{ip_0 x_0} (\mathcal{F}_0 f)(p_0, e^{\lambda p_0} x_1) \frac{dp_0}{2\pi} \ .$$

Proof. Setting $f \to f^*$ and $g \to g^*$ in proposition (11) we obtain

$$(f, g) = \omega(f^* \star g) = \int f^*(x)\overline{g^*}(x) d^2x \ .$$

In particular if we set $f = g$ we have

$$\|f\|^2 = (f, f) = \int |f^*(x)|^2 d^2x \geq 0 \ . \tag{3.1}$$

We need to take the quotient by the left ideal $\mathcal{I} = \{f \in \mathcal{A} \ : \ \omega(f^* \star f) = 0\}$. These are functions that are zero almost everywhere with respect to the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^2$, for example a function that depends only on one of the two variables. After this quotient we have that $\| \cdot \|$ is positive-definite, since $f^* = 0$ implies $f = 0$. Therefore we can complete $\mathcal{A}$ with respect to the norm $\| \cdot \|$ and obtain a Hilbert space, which we denote by $\mathcal{H}$.

Now we prove that it is unitarily equivalent to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and determine the corresponding unitary operator $U : \mathcal{H} \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Define $J\psi := \psi^*$, where $*$ is the involution in $\mathcal{A}$, and write $J := J_c U$, where J_c is complex conjugation. From the formula (2.4) we obtain

$$(Uf)(x) = \int e^{ip_0 x_0} (\mathcal{F}_0 f)(p_0, e^{\lambda p_0} x_1) \frac{dp_0}{2\pi} \ .$$

From equation (3.1) it follows that U is an isometry from $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{H}$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Indeed

$$\|f\|^2 = \int |(Jf)(x)|^2 d^2x = \int |(Uf)(x)|^2 d^2x = \|Uf\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \ .$$

Since $\mathcal{A}$ is a dense subset of $\mathcal{H}$ it follows that U can be extended by continuity to an isometry from $\mathcal{H}$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. We still need to prove that U is surjective.

We have that U is invertible in $\mathcal{A}$ since $J = J_c U$ is invertible in $\mathcal{A}$, therefore the image of U contains $\mathcal{A}$. Notice that $\mathcal{A}$ is also a dense subset of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then, since U is continuous, it contains the closure of $\mathcal{A}$, which is indeed $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ because of the density of $\mathcal{A}$. So we have shown that U is isometric and surjective, therefore unitary. ☐

Corollary 13. *The involution, denoted here by J, is an antiunitary operator from $\mathcal{H}$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$.*

Proof. It follows immediately from the previous proposition. Indeed we have that U is unitary from $\mathcal{H}$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and complex conjugation is an antiunitary operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, so since $J = J_c U$ it follows that it is an antiunitary operator from $\mathcal{H}$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. ☐

For the construction of a spectral triple we need the following ingredient: for any $f \in \mathcal{A}$ the $*$-representation $\pi(f)$ in $\mathcal{H}$, given by the multiplication $\pi(f)\psi = f \star \psi$ for $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$, should be a bounded operator. This follows from the next proposition.

Proposition 14. *For any $f \in \mathcal{A}$ the operator $\pi(f)$ is bounded.*

Proof. Recall that the $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ was defined by considering the Fourier transform of functions belonging to $\mathcal{A}_c$, which was the convolution algebra for the Lie group G associated to κ-Minkowski. Any convolution algebra can be completed to a C^*-algebra, either the group C^*-algebra $C^*(G)$ or the reduced one $C^*_r(G)$. Actually since G is amenable these two algebras coincide. Denote by $\| \cdot \|_{C^*}$ the C^*-norm associated to the convolution algebra $\mathcal{A}_c$. Then we can define a C^*-norm on $\mathcal{A}$ by setting $\|f\| := \|\mathcal{F}f\|_{C^*}$ for any $f \in \mathcal{A}$. The verification that $\| \cdot \|$ is a C^*-norm is very simple, indeed using the definitions given in (2.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f \star f^*\| &= \|\mathcal{F}(f \star f^*)\|_{C^*} = \|\mathcal{F}f \hat{\star} \mathcal{F}f^*\|_{C^*} \\ &= \|\mathcal{F}f \hat{\star} (\mathcal{F}f)^{\hat{*}}\|_{C^*} = \|\mathcal{F}f\|^2_{C^*} = \|f\|^2 \ . \end{aligned}$$

In the second line we have used the C^*-property of the norm $\| \cdot \|_{C^*}$. Then, since the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ was constructed using the GNS construction for the $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, it follows that the operator norm of $\pi(f)$ is bounded by $\|f\|$. ☐

3.2 The representation of $\mathcal{T}_\kappa$

Now we want to extend the representation of the extended momentum algebra $\mathcal{T}_\kappa$ to the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. We recall that by proposition (9) we have that $\mathcal{A}$ is a left $\mathcal{T}_\kappa$-module $*$-algebra, where the representation $\triangleright$ of $\mathcal{T}_\kappa$ on $\mathcal{A}$ is defined by the formulae

$$(P_\mu \triangleright f)(x) = -i(\partial_\mu f)(x) \ , \qquad (\mathcal{E} \triangleright f)(x) = f(x_0 + i\lambda, x_1) \ . \tag{3.2}$$

We can define an unbounded representation of $\mathcal{T}_\kappa$ on $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{H}$ by setting $\rho(h)\psi := h \triangleright \psi$ for every $h \in \mathcal{T}_k$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{A}$. Indeed $\mathcal{A}$ is a dense subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ which is invariant under the action of $\mathcal{T}_\kappa$, therefore for every $h \in \mathcal{T}_k$ the operator $\rho(h)$ is densely defined. Moreover we automatically get the equivariance property for the representation π of $\mathcal{A}$ on $\mathcal{H}$: indeed, since π acts by left multiplication, the equivariance property of π is just a restatement of the fact that $\mathcal{A}$ is a left $\mathcal{T}_\kappa$-module algebra.

We still need to prove that ρ is an unbounded $*$-representation, that is the equality $\langle \rho(h)v, w \rangle = \langle v, \rho(h^*)w \rangle$ holds for all $v, w \in \mathcal{A}$ and $h \in \mathcal{T}_\kappa$. In the following we prove that the operators $\rho(P_\mu)$ can be extended to self-adjoint operators.

Lemma 15. *We have that $U\rho(P_0)U^{-1} = \rho(P_0)$ and $U\rho(P_1)U^{-1} = \rho(\mathcal{E})\rho(P_1)$.*

Proof. Recall that, since $\mathcal{A}$ is a left $\mathcal{T}_\kappa$-module $*$-algebra, we have the compatibility property with the involution given by $(h \triangleright f)^* = S(h)^* \triangleright f^*$. Here S is the antipode map and the equality is valid for any $h \in \mathcal{T}_\kappa$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}$. In particular we have the equality $(P_\mu \triangleright f^*)^* = S(P_\mu)^* \triangleright f$. Then, using the Hopf algebraic rules of $\mathcal{T}_\kappa$, one immediately shows that $S(P_0)^* = -P_0$ and $S(P_1)^* = -\mathcal{E}^{-1}P_1$. Now for any $\psi \in \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$J\rho(P_\mu)J\psi = (P_\mu \triangleright \psi^*)^* = S(P_\mu)^* \triangleright \psi \ .$$

As a consequence we obtain the relations $J\rho(P_0)J = -\rho(P_0)$ and $J\rho(P_1)J = -\rho(\mathcal{E}^{-1})\rho(P_1)$. Using the definition of the representation $\triangleright$ we obtain the following explicit relations

$$(J\rho(P_0)J\psi)(x) = i(\partial_0\psi)(x) \ , \qquad (J\rho(P_1)J\psi)(x) = i(\partial_1\psi)(x_0 - i\lambda, x_1) \ .$$

Now recall that we have the relations $J = J_c U$ and $J = U^{-1} J_c$, where J_c stands for complex conjugation. We can use the relations to easily compute the following

$$(U\rho(P_1)U^{-1}\psi)(x) = \overline{(J\rho(P_1)J\overline{\psi})}(x) = -i(\partial_1\psi)(x_0 + i\lambda, x_1) \ .$$

Notice that this can be rewritten as $U\rho(P_1)U^{-1} = \rho(\mathcal{E})\rho(P_1)$. In a similar way one shows that the identity $U\rho(P_0)U^{-1} = \rho(P_0)$ holds. The lemma is proven. $\square$

Proposition 16. *The operators $\rho(P_\mu)$ can be extended to self-adjoint operators in $\mathcal{H}$.*

Proof. Notice that, since U is a unitary operator from $\mathcal{H}$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we can consider $U\rho(P_\mu)U^{-1}$ as unbounded operators in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Consider the operators $\tilde{P}_\mu := -i\partial_\mu$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with domain $\mathcal{A}$. They can be extended to self-adjoint operators in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and we denote their extensions with the same symbols. Since they commute, also $e^{-\lambda \tilde{P}_0}\tilde{P}_1$ is self-adjoint.

We have that $\tilde{P}_\mu$ and $e^{-\lambda \tilde{P}_0}\tilde{P}_1$ are self-adjoint extensions of $\rho(P_\mu)$ and $\rho(\mathcal{E})\rho(P_1)$, considered as unbounded operators in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with domain $\mathcal{A}$, since they coincide on their common domains. From this observation it follows that we can define self-adjoint extensions of the operators $\rho(P_0)$ and $\rho(P_1)$ in $\mathcal{H}$. Indeed we can define their domains to be respectively $U^{-1}D(\tilde{P}_0)U$ and $U^{-1}D(e^{-\lambda \tilde{P}_0}\tilde{P}_1)U$, where we use the notation $D(T)$ to denote the domain of the self-adjoint operator T. The conclusion follows from the previous lemma and from the fact that U is a unitary operator from $\mathcal{H}$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. $\square$

Since the operator $\rho(P_0)$ is self-adjoint we can define the operator $e^{-\lambda\rho(P_0)}$ via the functional calculus. Then one immediately checks that $\rho(\mathcal{E}) = e^{-\lambda\rho(P_0)}$, so also $\rho(\mathcal{E})$ extends to a self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$. Therefore we have that ρ is an unbounded $*$-representation of $\mathcal{T}_\kappa$. In the following we will use the notation $\hat{P}_\mu := \rho(P_\mu)$.

3.3 The KMS property of the weight ω

One relevant property of the weight ω is that is satisfies the so-called twisted trace property, which is in proposition (11). This property can be recasted in the language of KMS weights, which provides great insight into the modular aspect of the spectral triple we are constructing. Before proving this result we need to review some facts about KMS states and weights. The reader unfamiliar with these concepts is invited to check the review [17], which moreover contains material on modular spectral triples, which will be of interest to us later.

In the following statements we will refer to ω as a faithful normal state, but they hold more generally for a a faithful normal semi-finite weight. Given a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}$ and a faithful normal state ω on $\mathcal{N}$, the modular theory allows to create a one-parameter group of $*$-automorphisms of the algebra $\mathcal{N}$, which we call the *modular automorphism group* associated to ω and denote by σ^ω, which is a map that assigns to $t \in \mathbb{R}$ an automorphism of $\mathcal{N}$, which we denote by σ^ω_t. Denote by $\mathcal{H}_\omega$ the Hilbert space induced by ω via the GNS construction, and denote by π_ω the corresponding representation of $\mathcal{N}$ on $\mathcal{H}_\omega$. Then the modular automorphism group σ^ω is implemented by a unitary one-parameter group $t \mapsto \Delta^{it}_\omega \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\omega)$. This means that for each $a \in \mathcal{N}$ and for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $\pi_\omega(\sigma^\omega_t(a)) = \Delta^{it}_\omega \pi_\omega(a) \Delta^{-it}_\omega$. We call Δ_ω the *modular operator* induced by the state ω.

The modular automorphism group σ^ω has a very important property: it is the unique one-parameter automorphism group that satisfies the KMS condition with respect to the state ω at inverse temperature $\beta = 1$. The KMS condition is defined as follows. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a von Neumann algebra, ω a state on $\mathcal{N}$ and $t \to \alpha_t$ a one-parameter group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{N}$. Then ω satisfies the *KMS condition* at inverse temperature β with respect to α if, for every $a, b \in \mathcal{N}$, there is a bounded continuous function $F_{a,b}$ on the complex plane, which is analytic in the strip $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \, : \, 0 < \mathrm{Im} z < \beta\}$ and fulfills the following condition

$$F_{a,b}(t) = \omega(a\alpha_t(b)) \ , \quad F_{a,b}(t + i\beta) = \omega(\alpha_t(b)a) \ .$$

Now we are going to see how these concepts apply to our case. In the next lemma we introduce a one-parameter group of $*$-automorphisms α of $\mathcal{A}$. Then we prove that the weight ω satisfies the KMS condition at $\beta = 1$ with respect to α and compute the associated modular operator Δ.

Lemma 17. *For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}$ define $(\alpha_t f)(x) := f(x_0 - \lambda t, x_1)$. We have that α is a one-parameter group of $*$-automorphisms of $\mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. To prove that α is one-parameter group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{A}$ we have to show that, for any $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ and any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the following property is satisfied $\alpha_t(f \star g) = \alpha_t(f) \star \alpha_t(g)$. This can be shown by a direct computation

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha_t(f) \star \alpha_t(g))(x) &= \int e^{ip_0x_0} (\mathcal{F}_0 \alpha_t(f))(p_0, x_1) \alpha_t(g)(x_0, e^{-\lambda p_0} x_1) \frac{dp_0}{2\pi} \\ &= \int e^{ip_0x_0} \int e^{-ip_0q_0} f(q_0 - \lambda t, x_1) g(x_0 - \lambda t, e^{-\lambda p_0} x_1) dq_0 \frac{dp_0}{2\pi} \ .\end{aligned}$$

After the change of variable $q_0 \to q_0 + \lambda t$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha_t(f) \star \alpha_t(g))(x) &= \int e^{ip_0(x_0 - \lambda t)} \int e^{-ip_0q_0} f(q_0, x_1) g(x_0 - \lambda t, e^{-\lambda p_0} x_1) dq_0 \frac{dp_0}{2\pi} \\ &= \int e^{ip_0(x_0 - \lambda t)} (\mathcal{F}_0 f)(p_0, x_1) g(x_0 - \lambda t, e^{-\lambda p_0} x_1) \frac{dp_0}{2\pi} = (\alpha_t(f \star g))(x) \ .\end{aligned}$$

Finally to prove that α_t is a $*$-automorphism we need to check the additional property $\alpha_t(f)^* = \alpha_t(f^*)$. This can again be checked by a direct computation

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_t(f)^*(x) &= \int e^{ip_0x_0} (\mathcal{F}_0 \overline{\alpha_t(f)})(p_0, e^{-\lambda p_0} x_1) \frac{dp_0}{2\pi} \\ &= \int e^{ip_0x_0} \int e^{-ip_0q_0} \overline{f}(q_0 - \lambda t, e^{-\lambda p_0} x_1) dq_0 \frac{dp_0}{2\pi}\end{aligned}$$

Using again the change of variable $q_0 \to q_0 + \lambda t$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_t(f)^*(x) &= \int e^{ip_0(x_0 - \lambda t)} \int e^{-ip_0q_0} \overline{f}(q_0, e^{-\lambda p_0} x_1) dq_0 \frac{dp_0}{2\pi} \\ &= \int e^{ip_0(x_0 - \lambda t)} (\mathcal{F}_0 \overline{f})(p_0, e^{-\lambda p_0} x_1) \frac{dp_0}{2\pi} = \alpha_t(f^*)(x) \ .\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 18. *The weight ω satisfies the KMS condition at inverse temperature $\beta = 1$ with respect to α. The corresponding modular operator is given by $\Delta = e^{-\lambda \hat{P}_0}$.*

Proof. We define $F_{f,g}(z) := \omega(f \star \alpha_z g)$. This function is bounded continuous and analytic, since α acts on the first variable and functions in $\mathcal{A}$ are analytic in the first variable. Now we need to show that

$$F_{f,g}(t) = \omega(f \star \alpha_t(g)) \ , \quad F_{f,g}(t + i\beta) = \omega(\alpha_t(g) \star f) \ .$$

Notice that the action of $\mathcal{E}$ can be rewritten in terms of α, that is

$$(\mathcal{E} \triangleright f)(x) = f(x_0 + i\lambda, x_1) = (\alpha_{-i} f)(x) \ . \tag{3.3}$$

Then using the twisted trace property we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{f,g}(t+i) &= \omega(f \star \alpha_{t+i}(g)) = \omega((\mathcal{E} \triangleright \alpha_{t+i}(g)) \star f) \\ &= \omega((\alpha_{-i}\alpha_{t+i}(g)) \star f) = \omega(\alpha_t(g) \star f) \ . \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the weight ω fulfils the KMS condition at inverse temperature $\beta = 1$ with respect to α. To determine the modular operator Δ associated with ω consider $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$. Using the fact that α_t is an automorphism of $\mathcal{A}$ we obtain

$$\pi(\alpha_t(f))g = \alpha_t(f) \star g = \alpha_t(f \star \alpha_{-t}(g)) = \alpha_t(\pi(f)\alpha_{-t}(g)) \ . \tag{3.4}$$

Now, using the fact that $\hat{P}_0 = -i\partial_0$, we have the following equality

$$(\alpha_t f)(x) = f(x_0 - \lambda t, x_1) = (e^{-i\lambda t \hat{P}_0} f)(x) \ .$$

Then we see that equation (3.4) can be rewritten as

$$\pi(\alpha_t(f))g = e^{-i\lambda t \hat{P}_0} \pi(f) e^{i\lambda t \hat{P}_0} g = \Delta^{it} \pi(f) \Delta^{-it} g \ .$$

This implies that the modular operator is given by $\Delta = e^{-\lambda \hat{P}_0}$. □

These facts will be extremely important for the definition of a modular spectral triple.

3.4 Some useful formulae

In the previous sections we have shown that the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is unitarily equivalent to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, where the unitary operator is given by

$$(Uf)(x) = \int e^{ip_0 x_0} (\mathcal{F}_0 f)(p_0, e^{\lambda p_0} x_1) \frac{dp_0}{2\pi} \ .$$

We can associate, to any densely defined operator T on $\mathcal{H}$, the densely defined operator UTU^{-1} on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Many properties of operators defined on $\mathcal{H}$ are conserved by this unitary transformation: for example, if T belongs to the p-th Schatten ideal on $\mathcal{H}$, then UTU^{-1} belongs to the p-th Schatten ideal on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. This is useful, since we can use results which are formulated for the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to establish some properties of operators on $\mathcal{H}$. For example, to prove that an operator is Hilbert-Schmidt in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, one can write it in the form of an integral operator and check that the kernel belongs to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$.

Here we collect some useful formulae which will be used extensively in the rest of the paper.

Lemma 19. *For $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ we have*

$$(U\pi(f)U^{-1}\psi)(x) = \int e^{ipx}(Uf)(x_0, e^{\lambda p_0}x_1)(\mathcal{F}\psi)(p)\frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2} \ .$$

Proof. Consider first $\psi \in \mathcal{A}$. From the definition of $\pi(f)$ and the properties of the involution J we obtain that $J\pi(f)J$ acts by multiplication from the right, that is we have $J\pi(f)J\psi = \psi\star Jf$. To compute $U\pi(f)U^{-1}$ we use the fact that U is related to the involution by $J = J_cU$, where J_c denotes complex conjugation. We have the following equality

$$U\pi(f)U^{-1}\psi = J_cJ\pi(f)JJ_c\psi = J_c(J_c\psi \star Jf) = J_c(J_c\psi \star J_cUf) \ .$$

Using the formula (2.4) for the product and after a change of variable we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_c(J_c\psi \star J_cUf)(x) &= \int e^{-ip_0x_0}(\overline{\mathcal{F}_0\overline{\psi}})(p_0, x_1)(Uf)(x_0, e^{-\lambda p_0}x_1)\frac{dp_0}{2\pi} \\ &= \int e^{ip_0x_0}(\mathcal{F}_0\psi)(p_0, x_1)(Uf)(x_0, e^{\lambda p_0}x_1)\frac{dp_0}{2\pi} \ . \end{aligned}$$

Finally this expression may be rewritten as

$$(U\pi(f)U^{-1}\psi)(x) = \int e^{ipx}(Uf)(x_0, e^{\lambda p_0}x_1)(\mathcal{F}\psi)(p)\frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2} \ .$$

This formula extends by continuity for any $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Indeed since $\pi(f)$ is a bounded operator in $\mathcal{H}$ and since U is a unitary operator from $\mathcal{H}$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ it follows that $U\pi(f)U^{-1}$ is bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Therefore $U\pi(f)U^{-1}$ may be extended by continuity to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. ☐

The following lemma gives an explicit expression for a function of $\hat{P}_\mu$.

Lemma 20. *For g a bounded function, define $g(\hat{P})$ by the functional calculus. We have*

$$(g(\hat{P})\psi)(x) = \int e^{ipx}g(p_0, e^{-\lambda p_0}p_1)(\mathcal{F}\psi)(p)\frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2} \ .$$

Proof. We know that in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}$ is the unitary operator that diagonalizes the operators $\tilde{P}_\mu = -i\partial_\mu$ (up to factors of 2π, depending on the normalization). This means that for $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ we have

$$(g(\tilde{P})\psi)(x) = \int e^{ipx}g(p)(\mathcal{F}\psi)(p)\frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2} \ .$$

From the results of the previous section we have that $U\hat{P}_0U^{-1} = \tilde{P}_0$ and $U\hat{P}_1U^{-1} = e^{-\lambda\tilde{P}_0}\tilde{P}_1$, so we obtain $Ug(\hat{P})U^{-1} = g(\tilde{P}_0, e^{-\lambda\tilde{P}_0}\tilde{P}_1)$. The result follows by using the functional calculus for the commuting operators $\tilde{P}_\mu$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. ☐

Finally we are interested in operators of the form $\pi(f)g(\hat{P})$.

Proposition 21. *The Schwartz kernel associated to the operator* $U\pi(f)g(\hat{P})U^{-1}$ *is given by*

$$K(x,y) = \int e^{ip(x-y)}(Uf)(x_0, e^{\lambda p_0}x_1)g(p_0, e^{-\lambda p_0}p_1)\frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2} \ .$$

Proof. Using the lemmata (19) and (20) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(U\pi(f)g(\hat{P})U^{-1}\psi)(x) &= (U\pi(f)U^{-1}Ug(\hat{P})U^{-1}\psi)(x)\\ &= \int e^{ipx}(Uf)(x_0, e^{\lambda p_0}x_1)(\mathcal{F}Ug(\hat{P})U^{-1}\psi)(p)\frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2}\\ &= \int e^{ipx}(Uf)(x_0, e^{\lambda p_0}x_1)g(p_0, e^{-\lambda p_0}p_1)(\mathcal{F}\psi)(p)\frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2} \ .\end{aligned}$$

The Schwartz kernel corresponding to this operator is given by

$$K(x,y) = \int e^{ip(x-y)}(Uf)(x_0, e^{\lambda p_0}x_1)g(p_0, e^{-\lambda p_0}p_1)\frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2} \ .$$

□

4 The Dirac operator

The next step in the construction of a spectral triple is the introduction of a self-adjoint unbounded operator on the Hilbert space, the Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}$. In the next section we briefly recall the ingredients used in the commutative case, mainly to fix some notation. We show that there is a problem in obtaining a bounded commutator, which is solved by considering the twisted commutator introduced by Connes and Moscovici [10], where the commutator is twisted by an automorphism σ. Finally we prove that, starting from some assumptions that we motivate in some detail, there is a unique pair of a Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}$ and automorphism σ such that the twisted commutator is bounded.

4.1 A problem with boundedness

Let us briefly recall some facts concerning the Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}$ in the two dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^2$. Here we consider the algebra of Schwartz functions $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with the $*$-representation π on $\mathcal{H}_r = L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ given by pointwise multiplication, that is $(\pi(f)\psi)(x) = f(x)\psi(x)$. We consider the following representation of the Clifford algebra

$$\Gamma^0 := \sigma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \qquad \Gamma^1 := \sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

These matrices satisfy the anticommutation relations $\{\Gamma^\mu, \Gamma^\nu\} = 2\delta^{\mu\nu}$. To consider spinors we consider the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_r \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$, corresponding to the trivial spinor bundle. The representation π of $\mathcal{A}$ is extended as pointwise multiplication on the two copies of $\mathcal{H}_r$, and we still denote it by π. The inner product is given by

$$(\psi,\phi)_{\mathcal{H}} = \int \left(\overline{\psi_1}(x)\phi_1(x) + \overline{\psi_2}(x)\phi_2(x)\right) d^2x \ ,$$

where ψ_i, ϕ_j are the components of the spinors ψ, ϕ. The Dirac operator for this space is built using the Γ matrices and the operators $\hat{P}_\mu = -i\partial_\mu$ as follows

$$\mathcal{D} = \Gamma^\mu \hat{P}_\mu = -\begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\partial_0 + \partial_1 \\ i\partial_0 - \partial_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ .$$

The Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}$ is self-adjoint with respect to the inner product defined above. Since the dimension is even there is a self-adjoint operator χ, called the grading, which satisfies $\chi^2 = 1$ and satisfies the following properties: it commutes with the algebra, that is for any $f \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $[\chi, \pi(f)] = 0$, and it anticommutes with the Dirac operator, that is $\{\chi, \mathcal{D}\} = 0$. In terms of the Γ matrices it is given by

$$\chi = -i\Gamma^0\Gamma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \ .$$

One of the requirements for a spectral triple is that $[\mathcal{D}, \pi(f)]$, for $f \in \mathcal{A}$, should extend to a bounded operator in $\mathcal{H}$. From its definition we obtain $[\mathcal{D}, \pi(f)] = \Gamma^\mu[\hat{P}_\mu, \pi(f)]$. Using the Leibnitz rule for the derivatives we obtain

$$\hat{P}_\mu \pi(f)\psi = (\hat{P}_\mu f)\psi + f(\hat{P}_\mu \psi) = \pi(\hat{P}_\mu f)\psi + \pi(f)\hat{P}_\mu \psi \ .$$

Then the previous equation can be rewritten in the form $[\mathcal{D}, \pi(f)] = \Gamma^\mu \pi(\hat{P}_\mu f)$. This is a bounded operator, since $\pi(f)$ is a bounded for $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\hat{P}_\mu f \in \mathcal{A}$ since f is a Schwartz function.

Now let us discuss the deformed case. The Hilbert space, which we previously introduced via the GNS construction for the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and the weight ω, will be referred to as the reduced Hilbert space and will be denoted by $\mathcal{H}_r$. The algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is represented in $\mathcal{H}_r$ by left multiplication, that is $(\pi(f)\psi)(x) = (f \star \psi)(x)$. To consider spinors we consider the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_r \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$, corresponding to the trivial spinor bundle. The representation π of $\mathcal{A}$ is trivially extended to the two copies of $\mathcal{H}_r$, and we still denote it by π. The inner product of two spinors $\psi, \phi \in \mathcal{H}$ is given by

$$(\psi,\phi)_{\mathcal{H}} = \int \left((\psi_1^* \star \phi_1)(x) + (\psi_2^* \star \phi_2)(x)\right) d^2x \ .$$

As a first attempt, we can try to use the classical Dirac operator $\mathcal{D} = \Gamma^\mu \hat{P}_\mu$. Then, as in the commutative case, we have $[\mathcal{D}, \pi(f)] = \Gamma^\mu[\hat{P}_\mu, \pi(f)]$. The difference comes from the fact that, differently from the commutative case, the representation π is not pointwise multiplication. Indeed, using the fact that the representation π is $\mathcal{T}_\kappa$-equivariant, we obtain

$$\hat{P}_1 \pi(f)\psi = \rho(P_1)\pi(f)\psi = \pi(P_1 \triangleright f)\psi + \pi(\mathcal{E} \triangleright f)\rho(P_1)\psi \ .$$

We see that P_1 does not obey the Leibnitz rule, as a consequence of the non-trivial coproduct of the κ-Poincaré algebra. We find that the commutator $[\mathcal{D}, \pi(f)]$ is not bounded, since $\rho(P_1)$ is an unbounded operator and we have

$$[\mathcal{D}, \pi(f)] = \Gamma^\mu \pi(P_\mu \triangleright f) + \Gamma^1 \pi((\mathcal{E} - 1) \triangleright f)\rho(P_1) \ .$$

One can hope to evade this problem by considering a different Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}$. To have a good classical limit we require that, in some technical sense that we will specify later, in the limit $\lambda \to 0$ this Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}$ reduces to the classical one. Moreover, since we are dealing with a quantum group, it is natural to consider the framework of twisted spectral triples [10], see the discussions in [18, 19]. In this setting one requires the boundedness of the twisted commutator

$$[\mathcal{D}, \pi(f)]_\sigma = \mathcal{D}\pi(f) - \pi(\sigma(f))\mathcal{D} \ .$$

Here σ is an automorphism of the algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Since the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is involutive one also requires the compatibility property $\sigma(f)^* = \sigma^{-1}(f^*)$, for $f \in \mathcal{A}$. In the next section we will investigate what are the possible choices for the Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}$ and the automorphism σ.

4.2 The deformed Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}$

Now we state our assumptions for the Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}$ and the automorphism σ. The first assumption is of general nature, that is we require that $\mathcal{D}$ is self-adjoint on $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_r \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ and that it anticommutes with the grading χ. This implies that $\mathcal{D}$ is of the form $\mathcal{D} = \Gamma^\mu \hat{D}_\mu$, where $\hat{D}_\mu$ are self-adjoint operators on $\mathcal{H}_r$. The second assumption is that $\mathcal{D}$ and σ should reduce respectively to the classical Dirac operator and the identity in the limit $\lambda \to 0$. The technical statement of this assumption will be given later in this section. Next, motivated by the fact that $\mathcal{D}$ and σ should be determined by the symmetries, we assume that $\hat{D}_\mu = \rho(D_\mu)$, for some $D_\mu \in \mathcal{T}_\kappa$, and that the automorphism σ is given by $\sigma(f) = \sigma \triangleright f$, for some $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_\kappa$. The requirement that σ is an automorphism implies that its coproduct should be $\triangle\sigma = \sigma \otimes \sigma$.

Finally, a technical assumption: since it can be problematic to deal with convergence of elements in a Hopf algebra, and it certainly is more technically challenging, we restrict our attention to elements of $\mathcal{T}_\kappa$ for which we do not have such problems. We introduce

Definition 22. We denote by $\mathcal{T}_\kappa^f$ the finite span of the elements $P_0^i P_1^j \mathcal{E}^k$, for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The superscript here stands for "finite". Notice that, since $\mathcal{T}_\kappa$ is commutative, any product of a finite number of generators is an element of $\mathcal{T}_\kappa^f$. Our last assumption is then that D_μ and σ actually belong to $\mathcal{T}_\kappa^f$. Now we analyze the consequences of these assumptions.

Lemma 23. *Suppose that $A, \sigma \in \mathcal{T}_\kappa^f$. Then $[\rho(A), \pi(f)]_\sigma = \rho(A)\pi(f) - \pi(\sigma \triangleright f)\rho(A)$ is bounded if and only if the coproduct of A is $\triangle A = A' \otimes 1 + \sigma \otimes A$, for some $A' \in \mathcal{T}_\kappa^f$.*

Proof. Using the fact that the representation π is equivariant we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\rho(A), \pi(f)]_\sigma &= \rho(A)\pi(f) - \pi(\sigma \triangleright f)\rho(A) \\
&= \pi(A_{(1)} \triangleright f)\rho(A_{(2)}) - \pi(\sigma \triangleright f)\rho(A) \ .
\end{aligned}$$

For some $B_i, C_j \in \mathcal{T}^f_\kappa$ this can be rewritten in the form

$$[\rho(A), \pi(f)]_\sigma = \sum_{ij} \pi(B_i \triangleright f)\rho(C_j) \ . \tag{4.1}$$

Indeed notice that, since $A \in \mathcal{T}^f_\kappa$, only a finite number of terms appears in the coproduct of A, therefore on the right hand side we have a finite sum.

Now the crucial point is to note that the operator $\rho(C_j)$ is bounded if and only if C_j is a multiple of the unit. Indeed it is easy to see, from their definition, that $\rho(P_\mu)$ and $\rho(\mathcal{E})$ are unbounded operators, while the unit corresponds to a bounded operator. Then the operator

$$\sum_{ijk} c_{ijk}\rho(E^i)\rho(P^j)\rho(\mathcal{E}^k)$$

is unbounded unless all coefficients are zero, except possibly for c_{000}. From equation (4.1) we see that $[\rho(A), \pi(f)]_\sigma$ is bounded if and only if all the elements C_j are multiples of the unit. If we write $C_j = c_j 1$, where $c_j \in \mathbb{C}$, then we can rewrite the right hand side of equation (4.1) in the form

$$\sum_{ij} \pi(B_i \triangleright f)\rho(C_j) = \pi(A' \triangleright f) \ , \qquad A' := \sum_{ij} c_j B_i \in \mathcal{T}^f_\kappa \ .$$

Then we notice that the condition $[\rho(A), \pi(f)]_\sigma = \pi(A' \triangleright f)$ can be rewritten in the form

$$\rho(A)\pi(f) = \pi(A' \triangleright f) + \pi(\sigma \triangleright f)\rho(A) \ .$$

Finally, using the equivariance of π, this implies that $\triangle A = A' \otimes 1 + \sigma \otimes A$. $\square$

The previous lemma tells us that the requirement of boundedness of the twisted commutator severly restricts the form of the coproduct of D_μ. Now we analyze which elements of $\mathcal{T}^f_\kappa$ have a coproduct of this form.

Lemma 24. *Consider an element $A \in \mathcal{T}^f_\kappa$. Suppose that $\triangle A = A' \otimes 1 + \sigma \otimes A$ for some $A', \sigma \in \mathcal{T}^f_\kappa$ and with $\triangle\sigma = \sigma \otimes \sigma$. Then we have $\sigma = \mathcal{E}^m$, for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and A is of the form*

- $c_1 1 + c_2 \mathcal{E}^m$ *if $m < 0$,*
- $c_1 1 + c_2 P_0$ if $m = 0$,
- $c_1 1 + c_2 \mathcal{E} + c_3 P_1$ *if $m = 1$,*
- $c_1 1 + c_2 \mathcal{E}^m$ *if $m > 1$.*

Proof. Any element $A \in \mathcal{T}^f_\kappa$ can be written as a finite sum of elements of the form $P_0^i P_1^j \mathcal{E}^k$ with $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We can write such a linear combination with coefficients $c_{ijk} \in \mathbb{C}$ in the form

$$A = \sum_{ijk} c_{ijk} P_0^i P_1^j \mathcal{E}^k = \sum_{ijk} A_{ijk} \ .$$

First of all it is clear that if $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_\kappa^f$ is such that $\triangle\sigma = \sigma \otimes \sigma$, then we must have $\sigma = \mathcal{E}^m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The request $\triangle A = A' \otimes 1 + \sigma \otimes A$, for some $A', \sigma \in \mathcal{T}_\kappa^f$, puts a constraint on the possible terms that appear in the sum. A term A_{ijk} is allowed if and only if its coproduct is of the form $\triangle A_{ijk} = c_1 B_{ijk} \otimes 1 + c_2 \sigma \otimes C_{ijk}$, for some $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $B_{ijk}, C_{ijk} \in \mathcal{T}_\kappa^f$. Now we will discuss which terms are of this form.

Consider first the generator P_0. Then P_0^i is allowed for $i = 0, 1$. Indeed we have $\triangle 1 = 1 \otimes 1$ and $\triangle P_0 = P_0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes P_0$. For higher powers we get cross terms which cannot be of the allowed form, for example if we compute the coproduct for $i = 2$ we have

$$\triangle P_0^2 = P_0^2 \otimes 1 + 2P_0 \otimes P_0 + 1 \otimes P_0^2 \ .$$

The same argument applies to P_1, for which we have $\triangle P_1 = P_1 \otimes 1 + \mathcal{E} \otimes P_1$. Higher powers are not allowed as in the case of P_0. For $\mathcal{E}$ on the other hand any power is acceptable, since $\triangle \mathcal{E}^k = \mathcal{E}^k \otimes \mathcal{E}^k$ is an automorphism.

Now we discuss the mixed terms. Any term of the form $P_0^i P_1^j$ for $i, j \geq 1$ is not allowed because of the cross terms. For example for $P_0 P_1$ we have

$$\triangle(P_0 P_1) = P_0 P_1 \otimes 1 + \mathcal{E} P_0 \otimes P_1 + P_1 \otimes P_0 + \mathcal{E} \otimes P_0 P_1 \ .$$

Similarly mixed terms like $P_0^i \mathcal{E}^k$ or $P_1^j \mathcal{E}^k$ are not allowed for $i, j, k \geq 1$. For example we have

$$\begin{aligned} \triangle(P_0 \mathcal{E}^k) &= \mathcal{E}^k P_0 \otimes \mathcal{E}^k + \mathcal{E}^k \otimes \mathcal{E}^k P_0 \ , \\ \triangle(P_1 \mathcal{E}^k) &= \mathcal{E}^k P_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}^k + \mathcal{E}^k \otimes \mathcal{E}^k P_1 \ . \end{aligned}$$

Now we discuss which terms are compatible when we fix $\sigma = \mathcal{E}^m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. This means that the coproduct of the term A_{ijk} must have the form $\triangle A_{ijk} = c_1 B_{ijk} \otimes 1 + c_2 \mathcal{E}^m \otimes C_{ijk}$, for some $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $B_{ijk}, C_{ijk} \in \mathcal{T}_\kappa^f$. Notice that the unit and $\mathcal{E}^m$ satisfy this requirement for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. They are the only possible terms for $m < 0$ and $m > 1$. For $m = 0$ we also have P_0, while for $m = 1$ we also have P_1. □

Now it is time to discuss the requirement of the classical limit for $\mathcal{D}$. First of all we need to recall that the parameter λ is a *physical quantity* of the model, which has the physical dimension of a length. Since also the coordinates x_μ have the dimensions of a length, it follows that the Dirac operator must have $[\mathcal{D}] = -1$, where by $[A]$ we denote the physical dimension of A in units of length. From this observation it follows that the generators of $\mathcal{T}_\kappa$ have physical dimensions $[P_\mu] = -1$, $[\mathcal{E}] = 0$ and the unit has $[1] = 0$. Now we can give the technical statement of the assumption of the classical limit.

Definition 25. We say that the Dirac operator $\mathcal{D} = \Gamma^\mu \hat{D}_\mu$ obeys the *classical limit* if for all $\psi \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $\lim \hat{D}_\mu \psi = \hat{P}_\mu \psi$, for $\lambda \to 0$, and moreover $[\hat{D}_\mu] = -1$.

Now that we have discussed all the assumptions we can state the following theorem.

Theorem 26. *Suppose that D_μ and σ belong to $\mathcal{T}_\kappa^f$, and that the Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}$ obeys the classical limit, as given in the previous definition. Then the twisted commutator*

$$[\mathcal{D}, \pi(f)]_\sigma = \Gamma^\mu \left(\rho(D_\mu) \pi(f) - \pi(\sigma \triangleright f) \rho(D_\mu) \right)$$

is bounded if and only if we have $D_0 = \frac{1}{\lambda}(1 - \mathcal{E})$, $D_1 = P_1$ and $\sigma = \mathcal{E}$.

Proof. Using lemma (23) we have that $[\mathcal{D},\pi(f)]_\sigma$ is bounded if and only if $\triangle D_\mu = D'_\mu \otimes 1 + \sigma \otimes D_\mu$, for some $D'_\mu \in \mathcal{T}^f_\kappa$. Such elements are classified by lemma (24). We have $\sigma = \mathcal{E}^m$, for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and depending on the value of k we have the following possibilities for D_μ

$$\begin{array}{rl} c_1 1 + c_2 \mathcal{E}^m \ , & m < 0 \ , \\ c_1 1 + c_2 P_0 \ , & m = 0 \ , \\ c_1 1 + c_2 \mathcal{E} + c_3 P_1 \ , & m = 1 \ , \\ c_1 1 + c_2 \mathcal{E}^m \ , & m > 1 \ . \end{array}$$

The condition that the operators $\hat{D}_\mu$ obey the classical limit imposes $k = 1$. Indeed only for this choice we have the element P_1, which corresponds to the operator $\rho(P_1) = \hat{P}_1 = -i\partial_1$. Now we need to consider the restriction on the coefficients $c_k \in \mathbb{C}$ given by the classical limit. Let us start with D_0, for which we have

$$(\rho(D_0)\psi)(x) = \lambda^{-1}\left(c_1\psi(x) + c_2\psi(x_0 - i\lambda, x_1)\right) - ic_3(\partial_1\psi)(x) \ .$$

Here we have rescaled the coefficients in such a way that $c_k \in \mathbb{C}$ are dimensionless. This operator must reduce to $-i\partial_0$ in the limit $\lambda \to 0$. We see immediately that we must have $c_3 = 0$, since the second term is not affected by the limit. To see what are the requirements on the remaining two coefficients we expand ψ in Taylor series in λ, which is allowed since $\psi \in \mathcal{A}$ is analytic in the first variable. Then we can write

$$(\rho(D_0)\psi)(x) = \lambda^{-1}(c_1 + c_2)\psi(x) + ic_2(\partial_0\psi)(x) + \lambda^{-1}c_2\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(\partial_0^n\psi)(x)\frac{(i\lambda)^n}{n!} \ .$$

The first term diverges in the limit $\lambda \to 0$ unless $c_1 = -c_2$, which we must require. The second term on the other hand is not affected by this limit, and forces us to choose $c_2 = -1$. Finally let us discuss the third term. Since ψ is also a Schwartz function, we can exchange the limit with the series and we obtain

$$\lim_{\lambda\to 0}\lambda^{-1}c_2\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(\partial_0^n\psi)(x)\frac{(i\lambda)^n}{n!} = c_2\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(\partial_0^n\psi)(x)\lim_{\lambda\to 0}\lambda^{-1}\frac{(i\lambda)^n}{n!} = 0 \ .$$

Therefore the classical limit fixes $D_0 = \lambda^{-1}(1 - \mathcal{E})$. We can repeat the argument for D_1, for which we write

$$(\rho(D_1)\psi)(x) = \lambda^{-1}\left(c'_1\psi(x) + c'_2\psi(x_0 - i\lambda, x_1)\right) - ic'_3(\partial_1\psi)(x) \ .$$

This operator must reduce to $-i\partial_1$ in the limit $\lambda \to 0$. From the previous discussion it is obvious that we must have $c'_1 = c'_2 = 0$. Finally the third coefficient is the same as in the commutative case, that is $c'_3 = 1$, therefore $D_1 = P_1$. $\square$

4.3 Some remarks on $\mathcal{D}$

The main result of this section is that, given the assumptions spelt out in the previous discussions, there is a unique Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}$ and a unique automorphism σ such that $[\mathcal{D},\pi(f)]_\sigma$ is bounded. They are given by

$$\mathcal{D} = \Gamma^0\lambda^{-1}(1 - e^{-\lambda\hat{P}_0}) + \Gamma^1\hat{P}_1 \ , \quad \sigma = \mathcal{E} \ .$$

We want to point out several nice features of this result. The first remark is related to the modular properties of the twisted commutator we have introduced. In the paper by Connes and Moscovici on twisted spectral triples [10], it is assumed that the involutive algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is equipped with a strongly continuous one-parameter group of automorphisms α such that $\sigma = \alpha_{-i}$, which for their purposes serves to reduce the double index map to a single index pairing map. In our case we have

$$\pi(\sigma(f)) = \pi(\mathcal{E} \triangleright f) = \pi(\alpha_{-i}(f)) = \Delta\pi(f)\Delta^{-1} \ .$$

Here α is one-parameter group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{A}$ associated to the KMS weight ω and Δ the corresponding modular operator. Therefore σ is implemented in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ by the modular operator. Therefore there is a nice compatibility between the twisting of the commutator and the modular properties of the algebra.

Another very pleasant feature is the simple relation between the square of the Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}^2$ and $\mathcal{C}$, the first Casimir operator of the κ-Poincaré algebra (more precisely of the so-called quantum Euclidean group, since we are in Euclidean signature). It is given by

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{4}{\lambda^2} \sinh^2\left(\frac{\lambda \hat{P}_0}{2}\right) + e^{\lambda \hat{P}_0} \hat{P}_1^2 \ . \tag{4.2}$$

Then, as a simple computation shows, we have the relation $\mathcal{D}^2 = \Delta\mathcal{C}$, where Δ is the modular operator. Apart from the presence of the modular operator this is the same property that one has in the commutative case. This connection is made more suggestive if we rewrite the twisted commutator in the form suggested in [19], that is

$$K^{-1}\left(\mathcal{D}'\pi(a) - (K^{-1}\pi(a)K)\mathcal{D}'\right) \ .$$

The usual definition of the twisted commutator is obtained by setting $\mathcal{D} = K^{-1}\mathcal{D}'$ and $\pi(\sigma(a)) = K^{-2}\pi(a)K^2$. From the previous remark it follows that $K = \Delta^{-1/2}$, so we have $(\mathcal{D}')^2 = \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}'$ is exactly the square root of the Casimir operator.

We remark that the Dirac operator introduced in this section serves the purpose of describing the geometry of κ-Minkowski space. In the literature one finds a different Dirac operator, that we call the physical Dirac operator and denote by $\mathcal{D}_{ph}$, which is obtained by requiring the equivariance (covariance) with respect to the κ-Poincaré algebra (again the quantum Euclidean group), see [20] and also [5]. In our notations it is given by

$$\mathcal{D}_{ph} = \Gamma^0\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\sinh(\lambda\hat{P}_0) - \frac{\lambda}{2}e^{\lambda\hat{P}_0}\hat{P}_1^2\right) + \Gamma^1 e^{\lambda\hat{P}_0}\hat{P}_1 \ .$$

In contrast, the operator $\mathcal{D}$ that we have obtained is equivariant only under the extended momentum algebra $\mathcal{T}_\kappa$, which is used to define κ-Minkowski space. Notice that we can rewrite this operator as $\mathcal{D}_{ph} = \Gamma^\mu \rho(D^{ph}_\mu)$, where D^{ph}_μ are elements of $\mathcal{T}^f_\kappa$ defined by

$$D^{ph}_0 = \frac{1}{2\lambda}(\mathcal{E}^{-1} - \mathcal{E}) - \frac{\lambda}{2}\mathcal{E}^{-1}P_1^2 \ , \quad D^{ph}_1 = \mathcal{E}^{-1}P_1 \ .$$

The results proven in this section apply to this case, showing that there is no automorphism σ such that the twisted commutator $[\mathcal{D}_{ph}, \pi(f)]_\sigma$ is bounded (of course one can directly check this statement by computing the coproduct of D^{ph}_μ). A property of the physical Dirac operator is that, differently from the commutative case, it is not the square root of the first Casimir operator, but instead we have the relation

$$\mathcal{D}_{ph}^2 = \mathcal{C}\left(1 + \frac{\lambda^2}{4}\mathcal{C}\right) \ .$$

We point this out because in the non-commutative setting there is a priori no reason why the operator $\mathcal{D}$, that one wishes to use to describe the geometry of the space, should coincide operator $\mathcal{D}_{ph}$, that one wishes to use to describe the property of spin one-half particles in this space. If one takes at face value the results of this section it seems that, to describe the geometry, it is more appropriate to use an operator $\mathcal{D}$ which, up to the presence of the modular operator Δ, is the square root of the first Casimir.

5 The spectral dimension

Now we want to consider the summability properties of our spectral triple. We are going to show that this triple is not finitely summable in the usual sense, but it is finitely summable in the sense of *modular spectral triples*. This an extension of the concept of spectral triple, introduced among other reasons to handle algebras having a KMS state. This is the natural framework for the non-commutative geometry of κ-Minkowski which, as we have shown in the previous sections, has a natural KMS weight. After discussing some of the problems that arise in the non-compact case, we introduce a weight Φ on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, which we use to compute the spectral dimension. We show that we obtain a spectral dimension $p = 2$ and that the residue at $s = 2$ of the function $\Phi\left(\pi(f)(1+\mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/2}\right)$ recovers the weight ω on $\mathcal{A}$.

5.1 A problem with finite summability

The concept of finite summability for a non-unital spectral triple is far more subtle than in the unital case, see [21] for a detailed discussion of some of the issues arising. We just point out that, while in the unital case the definition of the operator $\mathcal{D}$ is enough to characterize the spectral dimension, in the non-unital case one needs a delicate interplay between $\mathcal{D}$ and the algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Here we quote the definition of spectral dimension and $\mathcal{Z}_p$-summability that are given in [21].

Definition 27. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ be a non-compact spectral triple. We say that it is finitely summable and call p the spectral dimension if the following quantity exists

$$p := \inf\{s > 0 : \forall a \in \mathcal{A}, a \geq 0,\ \mathrm{Tr}\left(\pi(a)(1+\mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/2}\right) < \infty\} \ .$$

In addition we say that $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ is $\mathcal{Z}_p$-summable if for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$\limsup_{s \searrow p} \left|(s-p)\mathrm{Tr}\left(\pi(a)(1+\mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/2}\right)\right| < \infty \ .$$

Now we show that our spectral triple is not finitely summable in this sense.

Proposition 28. *The operator* $\pi(f)(1+\mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/2}$ *is not trace class for any* $s > 0$.

Proof. Consider a positive element $f \geq 0$ in $\mathcal{A}$ and write it as $f = f' \star f'$, with $f' \geq 0$. If the operator $\pi(f)(1+\mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/2}$ is trace class then it follows that $\pi(f')(1+\mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/2}\pi(f')$ is trace class (while the converse statement is not true in general) [22]. To prove that $\pi(f')(1+\mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/2}\pi(f')$ is trace class it suffices to prove that $\pi(f')(1+\mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/4}$ is Hilbert-Schmidt. We will prove that $\pi(f')(1+\mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/4}$ is not Hilbert-Schmidt for any $s > 0$, which implies that $\pi(f)(1+\mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/2}$ is not trace class for any $s > 0$.

Computing the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of $\pi(f')(1+\mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/4}$ in $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_r \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ is equivalent to computing the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of $A := U\pi(f')(1+\mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/4}U^{-1}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$. Using proposition (21) we find that the Schwartz kernel of A is given by

$$K_A(x, y) = \int e^{ip(x-y)}(Uf')(x_0, e^{\lambda p_0}x_1)G_s(p_0, e^{-\lambda p_0}p_1)\frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2} ,$$

where the function G_s is defined by

$$G_s(p) := \left(1 + \lambda^{-1}(1 - e^{-\lambda p_0})^2 + p_1^2\right)^{-s/4} .$$

For fixed x define the function

$$h_x(p) := (Uf')(x_0, e^{\lambda p_0}x_1)G_s(p_0, e^{-\lambda p_0}p_1) .$$

With this definition we can write the kernel K_A as an inverse Fourier transform

$$K_A(x, y) = \int e^{ip(x-y)}h_x(p)\frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2} = (\mathcal{F}^{-1}h_x)(x - y) .$$

Now it is easy to compute the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of A. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\|A\|^2_{2,\mathcal{H}} &= 2\int\int |K_A(x, y)|^2 d^2x d^2y = 2\int\int |(\mathcal{F}^{-1}h_x)(x - y)|^2 d^2x d^2y \\ &= 2\int\int |(\mathcal{F}^{-1}h_x)(y)|^2 d^2x d^2y .\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the factor 2 comes from the dimension of the spinor bundle, since $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_r \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$. Since the Fourier transform is a unitary operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ (up to the factor $(2\pi)^2$, in our convention for the Fourier transform) we obtain

$$\|A\|^2_{2,\mathcal{H}} = 2\int\int |h_x(p)|^2 d^2x\frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2} = 2\int\int |(Uf')(x_0, e^{\lambda p_0}x_1)G_s(p_0, e^{-\lambda p_0}p_1)|^2 d^2x\frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2} .$$

After the change of variables $x_1 \to e^{-\lambda p_0}x_1$, $p_1 \to e^{\lambda p_0}p_1$ we have

$$\|A\|^2_{2,\mathcal{H}} = 2\int |(Uf')(x)|^2 d^2x \int |g_s(p)|^2 \frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2} = \frac{2}{(2\pi)^2}\|Uf'\|^2_2\|G_s\|^2_2 . \tag{5.1}$$

Now we consider the norm $\|G_s\|_2$, which is given explicitely by

$$\|G_s\|^2_2 = \int \left(1 + \lambda^{-1}(1 - e^{-\lambda p_0})^2 + p_1^2\right)^{-s/2} d^2p .$$

Notice that the integrand does not go to zero for $p_0 \to \infty$, so we find that $\|G_s\|_2 = \infty$ for any $s > 0$. Therefore the operator $\pi(f')(1+\mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/4}$ is not Hilbert-Schmidt for any $s > 0$. ☐

Now we argue that the framework of σ-spectral triples is not appropriate to describe the non-commutative geometry of κ-Minkowski space, and that some more refined notion of spectral triple is needed to capture the modular properties associated to this geometry.

First we recall a result obtained in [10]: for a σ-spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ with $\mathcal{D}^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}^{n+}$, the linear functional $\varphi(a) = \mathrm{Tr}_\omega(\pi(a)\mathcal{D}^{-n})$, where Tr_ω is the Dixmier trace, is a σ^{-n} trace on $\mathcal{A}$. This means that for any $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(b\sigma^{-n}(a))$. As we mentioned before, it is assumed that the involutive algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is equipped with a strongly continuous one-parameter group of automorphisms α such that $\sigma = \alpha_{-i}$. Therefore the previous condition can be expressed as $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(b\alpha_{ni}(a))$, where n is the spectral dimension.

Putting aside the issues of the non-compact case, which are not needed for this heuristical argument, having a spectral dimension $n = 2$ in our case would imply $\varphi(f \star g) = \varphi(g \star \alpha_{2i}(f))$. It is important to notice that this one-parameter group α is the same that appears in the KMS condition for ω. The weight ω, on the other hand, satisfies the property $\omega(f \star g) = \omega(g \star \alpha_i(f))$. Since we expect the Dixmier trace to be linked to the weight ω, we see that there is a tension between the two notions of integration, which are due to their different modular properties.

5.2 Modular spectral triples

The concept of modular spectral triples has emerged from a series of papers [11, 12, 13, 14], see also the review [17]. The main point of a modular version of a spectral triple is to enable the use of a weight instead of a trace to measure the growth of the resolvent of the Dirac operator. The definition of a modular spectral triple is usually given using the language of semi-finite spectral triples, a generalization of spectral triples introduced to deal with the case of a semi-finite von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}$. We do not need this extra technology, so we will give the definition specialized to the case $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, which is the case of our interest.

Definition 29. A *compact modular spectral triple* $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ is the data of a unital $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, a faithful $*$-representation π on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, and a self-adjoint operator $\mathcal{D}$ such that

- The representation π and the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ are obtained by the GNS construction for the state ω on $\mathcal{A}$, where the state ω satisfies the KMS condition with respect to α.
- A faithful normal weight Φ on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, whose modular automorphism group σ^Φ is inner and is such that $\sigma^\Phi(\pi(a)) = \pi(\alpha(a))$, for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$.
- The weight Φ restricts to a faithful trace τ on the fixed point algebra, which is defined by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{\sigma^\Phi} = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \ : \ \sigma^\Phi_t(T) = T \ , \ t \in \mathbb{R}\}$.
- $\mathcal{D}$ is affiliated with the fixed point algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{\sigma^\Phi}$.
- $[\mathcal{D}, \pi(a)] = \mathcal{D}\pi(a) - \pi(a)\mathcal{D}$ extends to a bounded operator for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.
- $(\mathcal{D} - \mu)^{-1}$ is compact with respect to the trace τ on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{\sigma^\Phi}$, for all $\mu \notin \mathrm{sp}\mathcal{D}$.

Such a definition has been formalized on the base of examples where the modular group σ^Φ_t is periodic. Indeed, in some of the papers cited at the beginning of this section, this condition even appears in the definition. Of course we need to consider a modification of the definition given above to allow for the use of twisted commutators. This modification simply consists in requiring that $[\mathcal{D}, \pi(a)]_\theta = \mathcal{D}\pi(a) - \pi(\theta(a))\mathcal{D}$ extends to a bounded operator for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, where θ is an automorphism of $\mathcal{A}$. Such a modification has been considered in [23] where it is shown that, given this setup, one can develop an index theory for such spectral triples. See also [24].

From the definition of modular spectral triple, it follows that the weight Φ is fixed by the choice of the weight ω on the algebra $\mathcal{A}$.

Proposition 30. *Suppose that the weight ω on $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies the KMS condition with respect to α. Moreover suppose Φ is a faithful normal weight on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that*

- *its modular automorphism group σ^Φ is inner,*
- *for every $f \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $\sigma^\Phi(\pi(f)) = \pi(\alpha(f))$.*

Then Φ is given by $\Phi(\cdot) = Tr(\Delta\cdot)$, where Δ is the modular operator associated to ω.

Proof. Since the modular automorphism group σ^Φ is required to be inner, there exists a positive self-adjoint operator h such that $\sigma^\Phi_t(T) = h^{it}Th^{-it}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Furthermore it is required that $\sigma^\Phi_t(\pi(f)) = \pi(\alpha_t(f))$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}$. But α is implemented by the modular operator Δ associated to ω, that is we have $\pi(\alpha_t(f)) = \Delta^{it}\pi(f)\Delta^{-it}$. Therefore it follows that $h = \Delta$ and so Δ is the modular operator of Φ.

We now recall the Radon-Nikodym theorem for von Neumann algebras [25], see also [23]. Let φ and τ be respectively a faithful normal semi-finite weight and trace on a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}$. Denote by Δ the modular operator associated to the weight φ. Then we have $\varphi(T) = \tau(\Delta T)$ for any $T \in \mathcal{N}$. In particular this holds for the case $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and when τ is choosen to be the operator trace. It follows that $\Phi(\cdot) = \mathrm{Tr}(\Delta\cdot)$. □

As in the case of spectral triples, in the non-compact case we need to modify the condition on the resolvent of the Dirac operator. We need to require some compactness condition for the operator $\pi(a)(\mathcal{D} - \mu)^{-1}$, for $a \in \mathcal{A}$. If we want to retain the use of the trace τ on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{\sigma^\Phi}$ we need to require that $\pi(a) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{\sigma^\Phi}$. However this condition seems quite unnatural in the non-compact case, as we now argue using the example of our model. Using the property $\sigma^\Phi(\pi(f)) = \pi(\alpha(f))$, for $f \in \mathcal{A}$, we have that $\pi(f) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{\sigma^\Phi}$ if and only if $\pi(\alpha_t(f)) = \pi(f)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Since the automorphism α_t is given by $\alpha_t(f)(x) = f(x_0 - \lambda t, x_1)$, this condition is satisfied if and only if f is constant in the first variable. In particular for any such $f \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $\omega(f) = 0$.

One possibility is suggested by the relation $\Phi(\cdot) = \mathrm{Tr}(\Delta\cdot)$, is that we can require that the operator $\Delta\pi(f)(\mathcal{D} - \mu)^{-1}$ be compact for all $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mu \notin \mathrm{sp}\mathcal{D}$. In the next section we are going to prove that the operator $\Delta\pi(f)(\mathcal{D}^2 + \mu^2)^{-s/2}$ is trace class for $s > 2$, which implies that this compactness requirement holds true.

5.3 The spectral dimension with the weight Φ

The definitions of finite summability and spectral dimension need to be modified in the case of a modular spectral triple. In the compact case one replaces the operator trace with the weight Φ, which restricts to a trace τ on the fixed point algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{\sigma^\Phi}$, to which the Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}$ is affiliated. For the non-compact case we use the following definition.

Definition 31. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ be a non-compact modular spectral triple with weight Φ. We say that it is finitely summable and call p the spectral dimension if the following quantity exists

$$p := \inf\{s > 0 : \forall a \in \mathcal{A}, a \geq 0, \ \Phi\left(\pi(a)(1+\mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/2}\right) < \infty\} \ .$$

In addition we say that $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ is $\mathcal{Z}_p$-summable if for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$\limsup_{s \searrow p} \left|(s-p)\Phi\left(\pi(a)(1+\mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/2}\right)\right| < \infty \ .$$

We have to remark that the terminology "$\mathcal{Z}_p$-summable" is somewhat improper, since the ideals $\mathcal{Z}_p$ have been defined only for traces, not for weights [21]. Here we are not concerned whether such a notion can be extended to this case, as we will not make use of any of the properties of these ideals. We only use this terminology to refer to the summability condition given above, which reduces to the proper case of $\mathcal{Z}_p$-summability when Φ is a trace.

Now we are going to show, using this notion of summability, that we obtain the spectral dimension $p = 2$, that the modular spectral triple is $\mathcal{Z}_2$-summable, and finally that we recover the weight ω from the residue at $s = 2$ of the function $\Phi\left(\pi(f)(1+\mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/2}\right)$. These results are in line with those obtained for the modular spectral triples studied so far. Before starting the computation we note the following easy but useful lemma.

Lemma 32. *For all $f \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $\Phi\left(\pi(f)(1+\mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/2}\right) = Tr\left(\pi(\alpha_{-i}f)\Delta(1+\mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/2}\right)$.*

Proof. It is easily proven by the following computation

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi\left(\pi(f)(1+\mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/2}\right) &= \mathrm{Tr}\left(\Delta\pi(f)\Delta^{-1}\Delta(1+\mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/2}\right) \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}\left(\pi(\alpha_{-i}f)\Delta(1+\mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/2}\right) \ .\end{aligned}$$

In the last line we have used the fact that α is implemented by the modular operator Δ, that is $\pi(\alpha_t f) = \Delta^{it}\pi(f)\Delta^{-it}$ for any $f \in \mathcal{A}$. □

Thanks to this lemma we can restrict our attention to the operator $\pi(f)\Delta(1+\mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/2}$ on $\mathcal{H}$. Now we show that this operator is trace class for $s > 2$.

Proposition 33. *For all $f \in \mathcal{A}$ the operator $\pi(f)\Delta(1+\mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/2}$ is trace class on $\mathcal{H}$ for $s > 2$.*

Proof. It is equivalent to show that the corresponding operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)\otimes\mathbb{C}^2$ is trace class for $s > 2$. We can consider, slightly more in general, the operator $A := U\pi(f)\Delta(\mathcal{D}^2+\mu^2)^{-s/2}U^{-1}$, with $\mu \neq 0$. Using proposition (21) we have that the symbol corresponding to this operator is given by $a(x,\xi) := (Uf)(x_0, e^{\lambda\xi_0}x_1)G_s^\Delta(\xi_0, e^{-\lambda\xi_0}\xi_1)$, where

$$G_s^\Delta(\xi_0, e^{-\lambda\xi_0}\xi_1) := e^{-\lambda\xi_0}\left(\lambda^{-1}\left(1-e^{-\lambda\xi_0}\right)^2 + e^{-2\lambda\xi_0}\xi_1^2 + \mu^2\right)^{-s/2} \ .$$

To prove that this operator is trace class we will use the following criterion [26]. Let A be an operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with symbol $a(x,\xi)$. If the symbol satisfies the condition $\partial_x^\alpha\partial_\xi^\beta a \in$

$L^p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ for $|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq [n/2]+1$, where $1 \leq p < \infty$, then A belongs to the p-th Schatten ideal in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Here we are using the multi-index notation for α, β and $[n]$ denotes the integer part of n.

First we will prove that a is integrable. We have

$$\int\int |a(x,\xi)| d^2x d^2\xi = \int\int |(Uf)(x_0, e^{\lambda\xi_0}x_1)| G_s^\Delta(\xi_0, e^{-\lambda\xi_0}\xi_1) d^2x d^2\xi \ .$$

After a change of variables we obtain

$$\int\int |a(x,\xi)| d^2x d^2\xi = \int |(Uf)(x)| d^2x \int G_s^\Delta(\xi) d^2\xi = \|Uf\|_1 \|G_s^\Delta\|_1 \ .$$

We have that $\|Uf\|_1$ is finite since Uf is a Schwartz function. To prove that $\|G_s^\Delta\|_1$ is finite we need to consider the asymptotic behaviour of the function $G_s^\Delta(\xi)$, which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} G_s^\Delta(\xi) &\sim e^{-\lambda\xi_0}|\xi_1|^{-s} \ , & \xi_0 \to \infty \ , \ |\xi_1| \to \infty \ , \\ G_s^\Delta(\xi) &\sim e^{-\lambda\xi_0}\left(e^{-2\lambda\xi_0} + \xi_1^2\right)^{-s/2} \ , & \xi_0 \to -\infty \ , \ |\xi_1| \to \infty \ . \end{aligned}$$

For $\xi_0 \to \infty$ this function is integrable if $s > 1$. To study the other case we use the integral

$$\int (a^2 + x^2)^{-s/2} dx = \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{s-1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)} (a^2)^{-\frac{s-1}{2}} \ .$$

Then the function G_s^Δ is integrable for $\xi_0 \to -\infty$ is $s > 2$, as we have

$$\int G_s^\Delta(\xi) d\xi_1 \sim e^{-\lambda\xi_0} \int \left(e^{-2\lambda\xi_0} + \xi_1^2\right)^{-s/2} d\xi_1 \sim e^{-\lambda\xi_0} e^{(s-1)\lambda\xi_0} \ .$$

Now we consider the derivatives in x. For the derivatives in x_0 we have

$$\int (\partial_0^n Uf)(x_0, e^{\lambda\xi_0}x_1) dx_0 = (Uf)(\infty, e^{\lambda\xi_0}x_1) - (Uf)(-\infty, e^{\lambda\xi_0}x_1) = 0 \ .$$

Here we have used the fact that Uf are Schwartz functions. Similarly we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int \partial_{x_1}^n (Uf)(x_0, e^{\lambda\xi_0}x_1) dx_1 &= e^{n\lambda\xi_0} \int (\partial_1^n Uf)(x_0, e^{\lambda\xi_0}x_1) dx_1 = e^{(n-1)\lambda\xi_0} \int (\partial_1^n Uf)(x) dx_1 \\ &= e^{(n-1)\lambda\xi_0} \left((Uf)(x_0, \infty) - (Uf)(x_0, -\infty)\right) = 0 \ . \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider the derivatives with respect to ξ_0. There are two contributions, one coming from $(Uf)(x_0, e^{\lambda\xi_0}x_1)$ and the other from $G_s^\Delta(\xi_0, e^{-\lambda\xi_0}\xi_1)$. First we will consider derivatives acting on the term $(Uf)(x_0, e^{\lambda\xi_0}x_1)$. Taking one derivative we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\xi_0}(Uf)(x_0, e^{\lambda\xi_0}x_1) &= e^{\lambda\xi_0}\lambda x_1 (\partial_1 Uf)(x_0, e^{\lambda\xi_0}x_1) \\ &= \lambda x_1 \partial_{x_1}(Uf)(x_0, e^{\lambda\xi_0}x_1) \\ &= \lambda \partial_{x_1}\left(x_1 (Uf)(x_0, e^{\lambda\xi_0}x_1)\right) - \lambda (Uf)(x_0, e^{\lambda\xi_0}x_1) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

The second term corresponds to the case with zero derivatives, so we have already proven that it gives a finite contribution. The first term on the other hand vanishes upon integration in x_1, since Uf is a Schwartz function. For the second derivative using (5.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\xi_0}^2(Uf)(x_0, e^{\lambda\xi_0}x_1) &= \lambda x_1 \partial_{x_1}\partial_{\xi_0}(Uf)(x_0, e^{\lambda\xi_0}x_1) \\ &= \lambda\partial_{x_1}\left(x_1\partial_{\xi_0}(Uf)(x_0, e^{\lambda\xi_0}x_1)\right) - \lambda\partial_{\xi_0}(Uf)(x_0, e^{\lambda\xi_0}x_1)\end{aligned}$$

The second term corresponds to the case of one derivative, which we have proven to be finite. For the first term we just need to notice that, for fixed ξ_0, equation (5.2) implies that $\partial_{\xi_0}(Uf)(x_0, e^{\lambda\xi_0}x_1)$ is a Schwartz function. Then the first term vanishes by the previous argument. $\square$

Theorem 34. *The non-compact modular spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ with weight Φ is $\mathcal{Z}_2$-summable.*

Proof. Using lemma (32) it suffices to prove the analogue result with $\pi(f)\Delta(1+\mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/2}$. In the previous theorem we have shown that the operator $A := U\pi(f)\Delta(\mathcal{D}^2+\mu^2)^{-s/2}U^{-1}$, with $\mu \neq 0$, is trace class for $s > 2$. We can compute its trace by integrating the kernel, that is

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(\pi(f)\Delta(\mathcal{D}^2+\mu^2)^{-s/2}\right) = 2\int\int (Uf)(x_0, e^{\lambda\xi_0}x_1) G_s^{\Delta}(\xi_0, e^{-\lambda\xi_0}\xi_1) d^2x \frac{d^2\xi}{(2\pi)^2} \ .$$

Here the factor 2 comes from the dimension of the spinor bundle, since $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_r \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$. As shown in the previous theorem this is actually equal to

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(\pi(f)\Delta(\mathcal{D}^2+\mu^2)^{-s/2}\right) = 2\int (Uf)(x) d^2x \int G_s^{\Delta}(\xi)\frac{d^2\xi}{(2\pi)^2} \ .$$

Now we need to compute the integral in ξ, which is given by

$$c(s) := \int G_s^{\Delta}(\xi)\frac{d^2\xi}{(2\pi)^2} = \int e^{-\lambda\xi_0}\left(\lambda^{-2}\left(1-e^{-\lambda\xi_0}\right)^2 + \xi_1^2 + \mu^2\right)^{-s/2}\frac{d^2\xi}{(2\pi)^2} \ .$$

The integral over ξ_1 can be easily computed using the standard formula valid for $s > 1$

$$\int (x^2+a^2)^{-s/2}dx = \sqrt{\pi}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{s-1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)}(a^2)^{-\frac{s-1}{2}} \ .$$

Using this result we can write

$$c(s) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{s-1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)}\int e^{-\lambda\xi_0}\left(\lambda^{-2}\left(1-e^{-\lambda\xi_0}\right)^2+\mu^2\right)^{-\frac{s-1}{2}} d\xi_0 \ .$$

For the integral in ξ_0 we use the change of variable $r = e^{-\lambda\xi_0}$ to rewrite it as

$$\int e^{-\lambda\xi_0}\left(\lambda^{-2}\left(1-e^{-\lambda\xi_0}\right)^2+\mu^2\right)^{-\frac{s-1}{2}} d\xi_0 = \lambda^{s-2}\int \left((1-r)^2+\lambda^2\mu^2\right)^{-\frac{s-1}{2}} dr \ .$$

This integral can also be solved analytically for $s > 2$

$$\int_0^\infty \left((1-r)^2 + a^2\right)^{-\frac{s-1}{2}} dr = a^{1-s}\left(a\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}-1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s-1}{2}\right)} + {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{s-1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{a^2}\right)\right) ,$$

where ${}_2F_1(a, b, c, z)$ is the ordinary hypergeometric function. The result of the integral is then

$$c(s) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{s-1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)}\lambda^{s-2}(\lambda\mu)^{1-s}\left(\lambda\mu\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}-1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s-1}{2}\right)} + {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{s-1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{\lambda^2\mu^2}\right)\right) .$$

From these results it follows that the spectral dimension is $p = 2$. To prove the $\mathcal{Z}_2$-summability we have to show in addition that, for any $f \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\limsup_{s\searrow 2}\left|(s-2)\mathrm{Tr}\left(\pi(f)\Delta(\mathcal{D}^2+\mu^2)^{-s/2}\right)\right| < \infty .$$

We need to study the behaviour of the function $c(s)$ around $s = 2$. Notice that the second term, the one involving the hypergeometric function, is regular at $s = 2$, while the first term has a simple pole at $s = 2$, which comes from the function $\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}-1\right)$. Indeed the Laurent expansion of this function at $s = 2$ is given by

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}-1\right) = \frac{2}{s-2} - \gamma + O(s-2) ,$$

where γ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. Using this expansion we can easily compute

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{s\searrow 2}(s-2)c(s) &= \limsup_{s\searrow 2}(s-2)\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)}\lambda^{s-2}(\lambda\mu)^{1-s}\lambda\mu\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}-1\right)\\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{(2\pi)^2}(\lambda\mu)^{-1}\lambda\mu\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}2 = \frac{1}{4\pi} .\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the result of this limit does not depend on μ, so we may as well fix $\mu = 1$. □

Corollary 35. *For all $f \in \mathcal{A}$ we have*

$$\lim_{s\to 2}(s-2)\Phi\left(\pi(f)(1+\mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/2}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\omega(f) .$$

Proof. From the previous theorem and lemma (32) we have

$$\lim_{s\to 2}(s-2)\Phi\left(\pi(f)\Delta(1+\mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/2}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int \alpha_{-i}(f)(x)d^2x .$$

Using the properties of the functions in $\mathcal{A}$ and the Cauchy theorem we have that

$$\int f(x_0+z, x_1)d^2x = \int f(x)d^2x , \tag{5.3}$$

for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then the result follows, since $\alpha_{-i}(f)(x) = f(x_0 + i\lambda, x_1)$. □

We have seen that, by computing the residue at $s = 2$ of the function $\Phi\left(\pi(f)\Delta(1+\mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/2}\right)$, we obtain the weight ω on the algebra. The factor $(2\pi)^{-1}$ is exactly what one obtains in the computation of the Dixmier trace in the commutative case.

6 The real structure

In this section we discuss the possibility of introducing a real structure on our spectral triple, see [19] for the case of quantum groups. We will see that one condition, involving the Dirac operator, is modified by the presence of the modular operator Δ. We briefly review the commutative case to recall these notions and to set the notation.

Consider a spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ of dimension n. It is even if there exists a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading χ on $\mathcal{H}$. A real structure is an antilinear isometry $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ with the following properties:

1. $\mathcal{J}^2 = \varepsilon(n)$.
2. $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{D} = \varepsilon'(n)\mathcal{D}\mathcal{J}$.
3. $[\pi(f), \mathcal{J}\pi(g^*)\mathcal{J}^{-1}] = 0$.
4. $\mathcal{J}\chi = i^n\chi\mathcal{J}$ if it is even.
5. $[[\mathcal{D}, \pi(f)], \mathcal{J}\pi(g)\mathcal{J}^{-1}] = 0$.

Here $\varepsilon(n)$ and $\varepsilon'(n)$ are mod 8 periodic functions which are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(n) &= (1, 1, -1, -1, -1, -1, 1, 1) \ , \\ \varepsilon'(n) &= (1, -1, 1, 1, 1, -1, 1, 1) \ . \end{aligned}$$

The fifth condition is usually called the first order condition.

We are interested to the case $n = 2$. We have $\mathcal{J} = CJ_c$, where J_c is complex conjugation and C is a 2×2 matrix, which in our conventions is given by $C = i\Gamma^0$. The grading is given by the matrix $\chi = -i\Gamma^0\Gamma^1$, which satisfies $\chi^2 = 1$. First we show that $\mathcal{J}$ is an antilinear isometry on $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_r \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$. Acting with it on a spinor ψ we get

$$\mathcal{J}\begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(x) \\ \psi_2(x) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -i\overline{\psi_2}(x) \\ -i\overline{\psi_1}(x) \end{pmatrix} \ .$$

The conclusion follows from a simple computation

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{J}\phi, \mathcal{J}\psi)_{\mathcal{H}} &= \sum_{k=1}^{2}((\mathcal{J}\phi)_k, (\mathcal{J}\psi)_k)_{\mathcal{H}_r} = \sum_{k=1}^{2}(-i\overline{\phi_k}, -i\overline{\psi_k})_{\mathcal{H}_r} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{2}(\psi_k, \phi_k)_{\mathcal{H}_r} = (\psi, \phi)_{\mathcal{H}} \ . \end{aligned}$$

The first property is easily verified using the properties of the Γ matrices

$$\mathcal{J}^2 = i\Gamma^0 J_c i\Gamma^0 J_c = (\Gamma^0)^2 J_c^2 = 1 \ .$$

To verify the second condition write the Dirac operator as $\mathcal{D} = \Gamma^\mu \hat{P}_\mu$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}\mathcal{D}\mathcal{J} &= i\Gamma^0 J_c(\Gamma^0\hat{P}_0 + \Gamma^1\hat{P}_1)i\Gamma^0 J_c \\ &= (\Gamma^0)^3 J_c\hat{P}_0 J_c - \Gamma^0\Gamma^1\Gamma^0 J_c\hat{P}_1 J_c = \Gamma^\mu J_c\hat{P}_\mu J_c \ . \end{aligned}$$

Using the definition of $\hat{P}_\mu$ we get $\Gamma^\mu J_c \hat{P}_\mu J_c = -\Gamma^\mu \hat{P}_\mu = -\mathcal{D}$. Multiplying from the right by $\mathcal{J}$ and using $\mathcal{J}^2 = 1$ we obtain $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{D} = -\mathcal{D}\mathcal{J}$. For the third condition we note that $\mathcal{J}\pi(g^*)\mathcal{J}^{-1} = i\Gamma^0 J_c \overline{g} i\Gamma^0 J_c = g$. The fourth condition follows from the computation

$$\mathcal{J}\chi = i\Gamma^0 J_c(-i)\Gamma^0\Gamma^1 = i\Gamma^0(-i)\Gamma^0\Gamma^1 J_c = -(-i)\Gamma^0\Gamma^1 i\Gamma^0 J_c = i^2\chi\mathcal{J} \ .$$

Finally the fifth condition follows since $[\mathcal{D}, \pi(f)] = \Gamma^\mu \pi(D_\mu f)$ and therefore

$$[[\mathcal{D}, \pi(f)], \mathcal{J}\pi(g)\mathcal{J}^{-1}] = \Gamma^\mu[\pi(D_\mu f), \mathcal{J}\pi(g)\mathcal{J}^{-1}] = 0$$

Now we consider the deformed case. For $f \in \mathcal{A}$ define the operator $J'f := \alpha_{\frac{i}{2}}(f^*)$.

Lemma 36. *The operator J' is an antilinear isometry on $\mathcal{H}_r$.*

Proof. Recall that $\alpha_t(f)(x) = f(x_0 - \lambda t, x_1)$ and that for $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ we have the KMS property $\omega(f \star g) = \omega(\alpha_{-i}(g) \star f)$. We have the following identity

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha_{\frac{i}{2}}(f^*), \alpha_{\frac{i}{2}}(g^*)) &= \omega((\alpha_{\frac{i}{2}}(f^*))^* \star \alpha_{\frac{i}{2}}(g^*)) = \omega(\alpha_{-\frac{i}{2}}(f) \star \alpha_{\frac{i}{2}}(g^*)) \\ &= \omega(\alpha_{-i}\alpha_{\frac{i}{2}}(g^*) \star \alpha_{-\frac{i}{2}}(f)) = \omega(\alpha_{-\frac{i}{2}}(g^*) \star \alpha_{-\frac{i}{2}}(f)) \\ &= \omega(\alpha_{-\frac{i}{2}}(g^* \star f)) = \omega(g^* \star f) = (g, f) \ .\end{aligned}$$

The property $\omega(\alpha_{-\frac{i}{2}}(f)) = \omega(f)$ holds for any $f \in \mathcal{A}$, as previously discussed. In particular we have that $\|\alpha_{\frac{i}{2}} f^*\| = \|f\|$, so it is an antilinear isometry. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_r$ this operator can be extended by continuity to the whole Hilbert space. ☐

We consider the operator $\mathcal{J} = CJ'$, where $C = i\Gamma^0$ as in the commutative case.

Proposition 37. *The operator $\mathcal{J}$ is an antilinear isometry on $\mathcal{H}$. Moreover it satisfies the following properties:*

1. $\mathcal{J}^2 = 1$.
2. $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{D} = -\Delta^{-1}\mathcal{D}\mathcal{J}$.
3. $[\pi(f), \mathcal{J}\pi(g^*)\mathcal{J}^{-1}] = 0$.
4. $\mathcal{J}\chi = -\chi\mathcal{J}$.
5. $[[\mathcal{D}, \pi(f)]_\sigma, \mathcal{J}\pi(g)\mathcal{J}^{-1}] = 0$.

Proof. First we show that $\mathcal{J}$ is an antilinear isometry. When acting on a spinor ψ we get

$$\mathcal{J}\begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(x) \\ \psi_2(x) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -i\alpha_{\frac{i}{2}}(\psi_2^*)(x) \\ -i\alpha_{\frac{i}{2}}(\psi_1^*)(x) \end{pmatrix} \ .$$

Then the result follows from the previous lemma and the following computation

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{J}\phi, \mathcal{J}\psi)_{\mathcal{H}} &= \sum_{k=1}^{2} ((\mathcal{J}\phi)_k, (\mathcal{J}\psi)_k)_{\mathcal{H}_r} = \sum_{k=1}^{2} (-i\alpha_{\frac{i}{2}}(\phi_k^*), -i\alpha_{\frac{i}{2}}(\psi_k^*))_{\mathcal{H}_r} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{2} (\psi_k, \phi_k)_{\mathcal{H}_r} = (\psi, \phi)_{\mathcal{H}} \ .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have the property $\alpha_z(f)^* = \alpha_{\overline{z}}(f^*)$ for $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Then we have $J'\psi = \alpha_{-\frac{i}{2}}(\psi)^*$ and therefore

$$
(J')^2\psi = (\alpha_{-\frac{i}{2}}(\alpha_{-\frac{i}{2}}\psi)^*)^* = \alpha_{\frac{i}{2}}(\alpha_{-\frac{i}{2}}\psi) = \psi \ .
$$

Given this property the first condition is proven as in the commutative case

$$
\mathcal{J}^2 = i\Gamma^0 J' i\Gamma^0 J' = (\Gamma^0)^2 (J')^2 = 1 \ .
$$

For the second property recall that the Dirac operator is given by $\mathcal{D} = \Gamma^\mu \hat{D}_\mu$, where $\hat{D}_0 = \frac{1}{\lambda}(1 - e^{-\lambda \hat{P}_0})$ and $\hat{D}_1 = \hat{P}_1$. Using the properties of the Γ matrices we obtain $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{D}\mathcal{J} = \Gamma^\mu J' \hat{D}_\mu J'$, as in the commutative case. To compute $J'\hat{D}_\mu J'$ notice that, for any $h \in \mathcal{T}_\kappa$, we have

$$
J'\rho(h)J'\psi = J'\rho(h)\alpha_{\frac{i}{2}}(\psi^*) = (\alpha_{-\frac{i}{2}}\rho(h)\alpha_{\frac{i}{2}}(\psi^*))^* \ .
$$

But $\alpha_{\frac{i}{2}}$ commutes with $\rho(h)$ for any $h \in \mathcal{T}_\kappa$. Then, using the property of compatibility of the representation with the star structure $h \triangleright a^* = (S(h)^* \triangleright a)^*$, we obtain

$$
J'\rho(h)J'\psi = (\rho(h)\psi^*)^* = (h \triangleright \psi^*)^* = S(h)^* \triangleright \psi = \rho(S(h)^*)\psi \ .
$$

Finally we can apply this result to $\hat{D}_\mu = \rho(D_\mu)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
J'\hat{D}_0 J' &= J' \frac{1}{\lambda}\rho(1 - \mathcal{E})J' = \frac{1}{\lambda}\rho(1 - \mathcal{E}^{-1}) = -\frac{1}{\lambda}\rho(\mathcal{E}^{-1})\rho(1 - \mathcal{E}) = -\Delta^{-1}\hat{D}_0 \ , \\
J'\hat{D}_1 J' &= J'\rho(P_1)J' = \rho(-\mathcal{E}^{-1}P_1) = -\rho(\mathcal{E}^{-1})\rho(P_1) = -\Delta^{-1}\hat{D}_1 \ .
\end{aligned}
$$

So we have $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{D}\mathcal{J} = -\Gamma^\mu \Delta^{-1}\hat{D}_\mu = -\Delta^{-1}$. Multiplying from the right by $\mathcal{J}$ and using $\mathcal{J}^2 = 1$ we obtain $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{D} = -\Delta^{-1}\mathcal{D}\mathcal{J}$. For the third property notice that

$$
\mathcal{J}\pi(f^*)\mathcal{J} = i\Gamma^0 J'\pi(f^*) i\Gamma^0 J' = (\Gamma^0)^2 J'\pi(f^*)J' = J'\pi(f^*)J' \ .
$$

Now we show that $J'\pi(f^*)J'$ corresponds to right multiplication by $\alpha_{\frac{i}{2}}(f)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J'\pi(f^*)J'\psi &= \alpha_{\frac{i}{2}}(f^* \star \alpha_{\frac{i}{2}}(\psi^*))^* = \alpha_{\frac{i}{2}}((\alpha_{\frac{i}{2}}(\psi^*))^* \star f) \\
&= \alpha_{\frac{i}{2}}(\alpha_{-\frac{i}{2}}(\psi) \star f) = \psi \star \alpha_{\frac{i}{2}}(f) \ .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using this result we have that the third property is satisfied, since right multiplication commutes with left multiplication. Indeed we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
[\pi(f), \mathcal{J}\pi(g^*)\mathcal{J}]\psi &= \pi(f)J'\pi(g^*)J'\psi - J'\pi(g^*)J'\pi(f)\psi \\
&= f \star \psi \star \alpha_{\frac{i}{2}}(g) - f \star \psi \star \alpha_{\frac{i}{2}}(g) = 0 \ .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of $\mathcal{J}\chi = -\chi\mathcal{J}$ is identical to the classical case. For the fifth property we need to use $[\mathcal{D}, \pi(f)]_\sigma = \Gamma^\mu \pi(D_\mu \triangleright f)$. Then it immediately follows from the previous property that

$$[[\mathcal{D}, \pi(f)]_\sigma, \mathcal{J}\pi(g)\mathcal{J}^{-1}] = \Gamma^\mu [\pi(D_\mu \triangleright f), \mathcal{J}\pi(g)\mathcal{J}^{-1}] = 0 \ .$$

The proof is complete. □

Several of the requirements for a real structure are trivially satisfied. This is a consequence of the fact that the Clifford structure is the same as in the commutative case, that the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is involutive and that the representation π is by left multiplication. The first order condition requires the use of the twisted commutator $[\mathcal{D}, \pi(f)]_\sigma$, which is indeed the natural choice for the case of quantum groups [19]. In our case this condition is automatically satisfied, due to the structure of our Dirac operator. Finally we discuss the property involving $\mathcal{J}$ and the Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}$. We have shown that for our spectral triple this is given by $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{D} = \Delta^{-1}\mathcal{D}\mathcal{J}$. We have seen that, in checking this property, the role of the antipode was crucial. Since the antipode of P_1 is not trivial, we immediately understand why we can not obtain $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}\mathcal{J}$.

7 Conclusions

The main aim of this paper was the construction of a spectral triple for κ-Minkowski space, necessary for its description as a non-commutative geometry in the sense of Alain Connes. Starting from the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ introduced in [9], we have constructed a Hilbert space using the GNS construction for the weight ω, which is invariant under the action of the κ-Poincaré algebra $\mathcal{P}_\kappa$. We have shown that ω is a KMS weight for the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and determined its associated modular operator Δ. We have introduced an unbounded representation of $\mathcal{T}_\kappa$, the extended momentum algebra, on the Hilbert space and proven the self-adjointness of its generators. We have shown that, under some technical conditions and the requirement of a good classical limit, there is a unique Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}$ and a unique automorphism σ such that the twisted commutator $[\mathcal{D}, \pi(f)]_\sigma$ is bounded for all $f \in \mathcal{A}$. This Dirac operator is strictly related to the first Casimir of the κ-Poincaré algebra.

We have shown that this spectral triple is not finitely summable in the usual sense, and how this motivates us to use the framework of modular spectral triples. To make use of this apparatus we introduced a weight Φ, which is fixed by the invariant weight ω on the algebra. After having discussed some issues arising in the non-compact case and their possible solutions, we have shown that the modular spectral triple is finitely summable, and that the spectral dimension coincides with the classical dimension. In particular the weight ω is recovered as the residue at $s = 2$ of the function $\Phi(\pi(f)(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/2})$. Finally we have discussed the introduction of a real structure and shown how the relevant properties are modified.

The results of this paper should be taken with cautious optimism. Indeed, the framework of modular spectral triples is fairly new, in particular its application to the non-compact case. With respect to the examples that have been studied so far, this model contains some novel elements. This might prove valuable to gain a better understanding of some of the ingredients of the modular framework. The generalization to higher dimensions does not seem to pose any particular difficulties. A natural question is whether the additional properties of a spin geometry can, in some sense, be satisfied for this model. In particular tools like twisted cyclic cohomology are expected to play a role in this case.

Acknowledgements

I am indebted to Gherardo Piacitelli for his assistance in the preparation of this paper. I also want to thank Francesca Arici, Fabian Belmonte, Ludwik Dąbrowski and Domenico Monaco for helpful discussions and comments.

References

[1] J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki, H. Ruegg, and V.N. Tolstoy, "q-deformation of Poincaré algebra." Phys. Lett. B268:331-338, 1991.

[2] J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki, and H. Ruegg, "New quantum Poincaré algebra and κ-deformed field theory." Phys. Lett. B 293 (1992), 344–352.

[3] S. Majid, and H. Ruegg, "Bicrossproduct structure of κ-Poincaré group and non-commutative geometry." Phys. Lett. B 334 (1994), 348–354.

[4] A. Connes, "Noncommutative Geometry." (Academic Press, 1994).

[5] F. D'Andrea, "Spectral geometry of κ-Minkowski space", J. Math. Phys. 47 (2006), 062105.

[6] B. Iochum, T. Masson, T. Schucker, and A. Sitarz, "Compact κ-Deformation and Spectral Triples", Rept. Math. Phys. 68 (2011) 37-64.

[7] B. Iochum, T. Masson, and A. Sitarz, "κ-deformation, affine group and spectral triples." arXiv preprint arXiv:1208.1140 (2012).

[8] V. Gayral, J. M. Gracia-Bondia, B. Iochum, T. Schucker, and J. C. Varilly, "Moyal planes are spectral triples", Commun. Math. Phys. 246 (2004) 569-623, arXiv:hep-th/0307241.

[9] B. Durhuus, A. Sitarz, "Star product realizations of κ-Minkowski space", 2011, arXiv:1104.0206

[10] A. Connes, and H. Moscovici, "Type III and spectral triples." arXiv preprint math/0609703 (2006).

[11] A. L. Carey, A. Rennie, and K. Tong, "Spectral flow invariants and twisted cyclic theory for the Haar state on $SU_q(2)$." Journal of Geometry and Physics 59.10 (2009): 1431-1452.

[12] A. L. Carey, J. Phillips, and A. Rennie, "Twisted cyclic theory and an index theory for the gauge invariant KMS state on the Cuntz algebra O_n." Journal of K-theory: K-theory and its Applications to Algebra, Geometry, and Topology 6.02 (2010): 339-380.

[13] A. L. Carey, S. Neshveyev, R. Nest, and A. Rennie, "Twisted cyclic theory, equivariant KK-theory and KMS states." Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal) 2011, no. 650 (2011): 161-191.

[14] A. Rennie, and R. Senior, "The resolvent cocycle in twisted cyclic cohomology and a local index formula for the Podles sphere." arXiv preprint arXiv:1111.5862 (2011).

[15] L. Dąbrowski, and G. Piacitelli, "Canonical k-Minkowski Spacetime.", arXiv preprint arXiv:1004.5091 (2010).

[16] A. Sitarz. "Equivariant spectral triples." Banach Center Publications 61 (2003): 231-263.

[17] P. Bertozzini, R. Conti, and W. Lewkeeratiyutkul, "Modular Theory, Non-Commutative Geometry and Quantum Gravity." SIGMA. Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications 6 (2010): 067.

[18] U. Krähmer, E. Wagner, "Twisted spectral triples and covariant differential calculi." Banach Center Publ 93 (2011): 177-188.

[19] F. D'Andrea, "Quantum groups and twisted spectral triples." arXiv preprint math.QA/0702408 (2007).

[20] A. Agostini, G. Amelino-Camelia, and M. Arzano, "Dirac spinors for doubly special relativity and κ-Minkowski noncommutative spacetime." Classical and Quantum Gravity 21.8 (2004): 2179.

[21] A. L. Carey, V. Gayral, A. Rennie, and F. A. Sukochev. "Integration on locally compact noncommutative spaces." Journal of Functional Analysis (2012).

[22] A. M. Bikchentaev, "On a property of L_p spaces on semifinite von Neumann algebras." Mathematical Notes 64.2 (1998): 159-163.

[23] J. Kaad, "On modular semifinite index theory." arXiv preprint arXiv:1111.6546 (2011).

[24] J. Kaad, and R. Senior, "A twisted spectral triple for quantum $SU_q(2)$." Journal of Geometry and Physics 62.4 (2012): 731-739.

[25] G. K. Pedersen, and M. Takesaki, "The Radon-Nikodym theorem for von Neumann algebras." Acta Mathematica 130.1 (1973): 53-87.

[26] G. Arsu, "On Schatten-von Neumann class properties of pseudodifferential operators. The Cordes-Kato method." Journal of Operator Theory 59.1 (2008): 81-115.